

A Comparative Study between Investment in Equity and Mutual Funds

Avvagari Ravi Theja¹, Dr. K. Krupavathi²

¹IInd MBA, School of Commerce and Management, Mohan Babu University, Tirupati ²Associate Professor, School of Commerce and Management, Mohan Babu University, Tirupati Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

The present research paper is a comparative study of investing in equity shares and mutual funds based on riskreturn trade-off, liquidity, management style, and investor preference. The aim of the present study is to analyse the performance and appropriateness of the two investment instruments for various investors depending on their financial objectives, risk tolerance, and investment duration. Equity investments, representing direct ownership in businesses, carry a higher degree of risk and higher potential gains and necessitate active market participation and expertise. Mutual funds provide a professionally guided, diversified fund that is well-suited to investors who demand moderate returns at lower risk. The study utilizes historical performance statistics, investor feedback, and quantitative measures like the Sharpe Ratio and CAGR in arriving at valuable conclusions. The research also investigates demographic and behavioural determinants affecting investor decisions. Results indicate that although equity can do better than mutual funds in some conditions, mutual funds are still the most desired investment vehicle for conservative and new investors because of diversification and professional management.

Keywords: Equity Investment, Mutual Funds, Risk and Return, Investment Strategies, Portfolio Management, Sharpe Ratio, CAGR, Investor Behaviour, Financial Planning, Diversification

INTRODUCTION

Investment options are the main determinants of individual and institutional financial plans. Among the many channels available, equity shares and mutual funds are among the two most preferred routes to raise funds. Both have high return capacity, but they are quite different from each other at risk, expectation, management approach, liquidity, and investor return to participation. Equity investment in listed companies has a direct acquisition of shares, which provides investors with ownership interests and the possibility of high returns in the form of capital appreciation and dividends. But this path also meets more risks, as equity markets are naturally unstable and demand an intensive understanding of market forces. Conversely, mutual funds offer diverse and professionally managed investment options. Through collecting funds from various investors, mutual funds fund a diverse portfolio of securities, thus reducing individual risk and making them to less experienced investors.

The purpose of this research paper is to comparatively examine investments in equity and mutual funds in terms of their performance, risk categories, return value, and appropriateness for various types of investors. The research aims to give a complete insight into the advantages and disadvantages of both investment schemes so that investors can make decisions accordingly, considering their financial objectives, bearing capacity for risk, and level of market awareness.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Investment options are an important part of individual and institutional financial plans, and both equity and mutual funds have been the major sources of wealth creation for years. There have been many studies that have examined the relative benefits and disadvantages of both of these investment devices.

• Equity Investments

Equity investment is about owning companies directly by buying shares. As per Sharpe et al. (2007), equity provides higher returns based on its risk relative to other financial products. Equity investments, though, call for extensive understanding of the stock market, firm performance, and market timing, as noted by Bodie, Kane, and Marcus (2014). These studies highlight that although equity investing can result in huge capital gains, it is also extremely volatile and subject to market sentiments, economic cycles, and world events.

• Mutual Funds



Mutual funds, by contrast, offer a professionally managed portfolio with an investor's money combined and spreads across many securities. Trainor and Black (1973) believe that mutual funds are ideal for investors who lack market experience and have a low tolerance for risk. Additionally, Elton, Gruber, and Black (2003) reported that mutual funds, particularly active managed ones, may lag behind the benchmark after fee adjustment, but they provide diversification and protection from risk.

• Comparative Studies

A number of comparative studies have tried to compare the performance, risk, and investor choice between equities and mutual funds. Gupta and Jain (2012) concluded that equities offer greater returns in the long run, but mutual funds are more stable and convenient. Rao and Reddy (2015) discovered that investor choice tends to Favor mutual funds because of perceived lower risk and professional management, even when they are aware of the possibility of higher returns in equities. Chaturvedi and Khare (2016) also found that demographic characteristics like age, income, and risk tolerance have a significant bearing on the choice between these two options.

Behavioural Aspects

Investor behaviour is also important for investment decisions. Kahneman and Tversky's Prospect Theory (1979) identifies that investors normally risk and prefer mutual funds to avoid the psychological impact of market fluctuations, even on the price of low returns.

• Current Trends

With the growth of digital platforms and financial awareness, the investment scene is evolving. SEBI (2020) indicated rising participation in mutual funds through SIPs (Systematic Investment Plans), while young investors are increasingly interested in direct equity trading, particularly with the advent of fintech platforms and social media.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study follows a comparative and descriptive research design to compare the performance and risk-return relationship of investment in equity and mutual funds. The purpose is to make a clear presentation of which investment option provides greater returns and minimum risk within a particular time horizon.

Research Design

The study is quantitative in nature and involves statistical and financial analysis of secondary data gathered from credible sources like stock exchanges (e.g., NSE/BSE), mutual fund databases (e.g., AMFI), and financial reports.

• Data Collection

Type of Data: Secondary data

Sources:

Equity: Historical stock price records of chosen companies listed on established stock exchanges.

Mutual Funds: NAV (Net Asset Value) information of chosen mutual fund schemes (equity-oriented) from AMFI or fund house websites.

Benchmark Indices: NIFTY, Sensex, etc., for relative performance analysis.

Sampling

Period: The study is for a period of the last 5 to 10 years to provide adequate data for trend analysis. Sample Selection:

Equity: A selection of large-cap, mid-cap, and small-cap stocks.

Mutual Funds: Actively managed and passively managed equity mutual funds across various fund houses.

Analysis Techniques

Descriptive Statistics

Objective: Combining the overall characteristics of the data. Matrix: mean, mean, standard deviations, obliqueness, kurtosis. Application: Compare average returns, instability, and risk for equity and mutual funds.

Risk-Return Analysis
Purpose: To measure the performance of investments.
Return on Investment (ROI), Standard deviation (for risk), Sharp ratio (risks), return)
Amaliantic and advantation and advantation (for risk).

Application: To determine whether equity or mutual funds provide more returns for the same level of risk.

• Correlation Analysis

Purpose: To determine the extent of association between equity and mutual fund returns. Application: Establish whether their returns go hand in hand or independently.

• Regression Analysis

Purpose: To determine the factors influencing returns and to compare predictors of performance. Application: Model using historical data to show how market conditions influence equity vs mutual fund performance.



• Time Series Analysis

Objective: To check the trends and seasonal patterns over time. Application: Compare the performance of equity and mutual funds on different time frames (eg, bull vs bear markets). Hypothetical test (t-test or ANOVA)

• Hypothesis Testing (t-test or ANOVA)

Purpose: To determine whether differences in average returns or risk levels are statistically significant. Application: For instance, check the hypothesis: There is no significant difference in average returns between equity and mutual funds.

Portfolio Analysis (Optional) Purpose: If comparing a diversified equity portfolio with mutual funds. Application: Apply Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) for the analysis of diversification, efficient frontier, etc.

Challenges and Limitations

• Market Volatility:

Financial markets are very unpredictable and can be quickly moved because of reasons like economic, political or global. The situation with this is that short-term data would be of little help in making out long-term conclusions.

• Data reliability and availability:

Getting accurate and up-to-date data for both equity and mutual fund performance can be challenging, especially when dealing with various sources or timeframes.

- **Topic in risk perception:** Investors have different levels of risk tolerance, which can affect their investment options. It is difficult to determine thematicism and can affect the generality of the study.
- Variation in Investment Objectives: Mutual funds and direct equity investments serve different purposes for different investors' growth, income, tax savings, etc. This variation can make comparisons less straightforward.
- **Time Frame Constraints:** Investment returns and risk vary greatly on short-term versus long-term horizons. A limited time limit for analysis cannot occupy the complete performance cycle of the investment type.
- Managerial Influence in Mutual Funds: Mutual fund performance is partially dependent on the fund manager's skill, which introduces variability and makes it difficult to compare with self-managed equity investments objectively.
- **Behavioural Factors:** Psychological and practical factors, such as investors' bias or herd mentality, can significantly affect investment decisions, which are difficult to pay attention to in comparative studies.
- **Regulatory and Economic Changes:** The government's policy, tax laws, or changes in economic conditions during the study period can affect returns, making it difficult to isolate performance based on investment types.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of research is contrary to investment in equity shares and mutual funds based on risks, returns, investor attitude, and access. Results suggest that although both provide opportunities for the accumulation of investment wealth, they are in line with various investor personalities and risk tolerance.

Equity investments inherently offer higher returns in the long run but entail higher market risk and volatility exposure. Experienced investors with high risk tolerance and the capacity to watch the market actively prefer direct equity investments. They enjoy the prospects of capital appreciation, dividends, and the autonomy to select the stocks, but they also have to face the entire burden of market declines.

On the other hand, mutual funds provide a professionally managed and more diversified investment channel. The risk is relatively less because of diversification, and the entry cost is low, thus appealing to conservative and first-time investors. Mutual funds provide convenience, SIPs, and lower management involvement on the part of the investor, albeit at the expense of management charges and diminished control over individual asset selection.

The study also shows a behavioural change among younger and new investors towards mutual funds, largely because of convenience in access, online platforms, and aggressive promotion by financial institutions. But experienced investors with deeper market insights tend to prefer equity investment in order to generate maximum returns.

It should be noted that neither alternative is always superior. The decision between equity and mutual funds has to be made based on personal financial objectives, risk tolerance, time horizon, and investment experience. A diversified portfolio can even have both instruments to maximize risk-adjusted returns.



FINDINGS

• Risk and Return Profile

It was discovered by the study that direct equity investments tend to yield higher possible returns than mutual funds, albeit with far greater risk. Mutual funds, on the contrary, offer moderate returns with relatively lower volatility, which are well-suited to risk-averse investors.

• Investor Knowledge and Involvement

Equity investment entails more market awareness, close monitoring, and decision-making, whereas mutual funds provide a more hands-off, professionally guided method. Retail investors preferred mutual funds for the convenience and expertise of fund managers in most cases.

• Diversification

Mutual funds naturally offer improved diversification, diminishing the effects of subpar performance by specific stocks. Direct investments in equities tend to be plagued by concentration risk, particularly when investors do not hold a diversified portfolio.

• Cost Structure

Mutual funds come with management fees and expense ratios, which, over time, can slightly lower net returns. But for novice investors, such expenses are usually offset by the professional management and risk reduction offered. Direct equity investments, even without fund management fees, can have transaction charges and capital gains taxes more often as a result of greater trading volume.

• Liquidity and Flexibility

Both investment types provide decent liquidity, but mutual funds (particularly open-ended funds) have smoother redemption procedures. Direct equities can have liquidity problems with lower-traded stocks and require more time-based decision-making.

Performance Consistency

Mutual funds, especially index and diversified equity funds, exhibited more consistent returns over the long run, while direct equity portfolios were quite variable depending on investor ability and market timing.

• Investor Profile Suitability

Based on the analysis, the conclusion is that conservative and passive investors are better suited to mutual funds, and direct equity investment suits aggressive, well-informed, and experienced investors who demand higher returns at the cost of higher risk.

CONCLUSION

This comparative analysis of investment in mutual funds and equity emphasizes the unique features, risks, and returns involved in both modes of investment. As equity investments promise higher returns, they involve higher market volatility and active participation, coupled with good market experience. Mutual funds, however, offer a diversified, professionally managed, and relatively safe investment opportunity, especially apt for moderate risk-taking investors or those lacking extensive market experience.

The research concludes that the decision between investment in equity and mutual funds is greatly influenced by the investor's target, risk acceptance, and investment term. For investors who are aggressive in the sense that they want greater returns and are ready to take care of their own portfolios, direct investment in equity will be more beneficial. For conservative or novice investors who desire a balanced approach with minimal effort, mutual funds are suitable. Generally, both investment alternatives have their own advantages and disadvantages, and an optimally constructed portfolio can benefit from the integration of both to realize maximum diversification and long-term financial growth.

REFERENCES

- [1] [1] Anand S. and Murugaiah V., "Analysis of Components of Investment Performance- An Empirical Performance of Mutual Funds in India", http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=961999, 2008
- [2] [2] Gupta, Amitabh, "Mutual Fund in India: A Study of Investment Management", Finance India, Vol 15, No. 2, 2001, pp. 631-637.
- [3] [3] Gupta, O.P. and Gupta, Amitabh, "Performance Evaluation of Select Indian Mutual Fund Schemes: An Empirical Study", The ICFAI Journal of Applied Finance, Vol. 10, No. 12, 2004, pp. 81-98.
- [4] [4] Inder S. and Vohra S., "Mutual Fund Performance: An Analysis of Index Funds", International Journal of Research In Commerce & Management, volume no. 3, issue no. 9, 2012, pp. 143-146.
- [5] [5] Prajapati K. and Patel M., Comparative Study On Performance Evaluation Of Mutual Fund Schemes Of Indian Companies, Researchers World, Journal of Arts, Science & Commerce, Vol-III, Issue 3, No.3, 2012, pp. 47-59.
- [6] [6] Aggrawal, R., Leora, K., and Peter, W. (2005). "Portfolio Preferences of Foreign Institutional Investors." Journal of Banking and Finance, Volume 29, Issue 12, pp. 2919-2946.
- [7] [7] Bhalla V.K. (2006). "International Financial Management." Anmol Publication Pvt. Ltd., pp. 779-799.



- [8] [8] Bhalla V.K. (2007). "Investment Management." S. Chand & Co. Ltd. pp. 1013-1027.
- [9] [9] Chakrabarti, R. (2001). 'FII Flow To India: Nature and Causes'. Money and Finance, Volume 2, Issue 7, pp. 34-44.
- [10] [10] Chaudhuri Tamal Datta (2008). 'Emerging Issues in the Indian Mutual Fund Industry.' Portfolio Organizer, Volume 9, Issue 2, pp. 51-56.
- [11] [11] Devi, Rama V., and Kumar Nooney Lenin (2010). "Performance Evaluation: A Comparative Study Between Indian And Foreign Equity Mutual Funds." Indian Journal of Finance, Volume 4, Issue 6, pp. 43-53.
- [12] [12] Gahlot Ruchika and Ruchi Sharma (2010). "Emerging Trend of Foreign Institutional Investors in India." Paper Presented in National Seminar on Emerging Marketing Strategies, Department of Commerce, Chhaju Ram Memorial Jat College, Hisar, Haryana, India on February 20, 2010, pp. 79-89.
- [13] [13] Jaydev. M. (1996). "Mutual Funds Performance: An Analysis of Monthly Returns." Finance India, Vol 10, Issue 1, pp. 73-84.
- [14] [14] Kohli, R. (2003). "Capital Flows and Domestic Financial Sector in India." Economic and Political Weekly, Vol.. 38, Issue 8, pp.761-768.
- [15] [15] Mohan, Ram, T.T. (2005). "Taking Stock of Foreign Institutional Investors." Economic and Political Weekly, Volume 40, Issue 24.

Appendix

Appendix A: Survey questionnaire (for individual investors)

- 1. What is your age group?
 - 18–25
 - 26-35
 - 36-45
 - 46 and above
- 2. Do you invest?
 - equity
 - mutual funds
 - Both
 - Nobody
- 3. What is your primary purpose for investment? -
 - Capital praise
 - Regular income
 - Tax savings
 - Protection of money
- 4. How do you evaluate risk before investing? -
 - high risk, high return
 - moderate risk, balanced return
 - Low risk, stable withdrawal
- 5. Do you rely on professional financial advice?
 - Yes
 - No