International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering
ISSN: 2319-7463, Vol. 4 Issue 12, December-2015

Study of Clustering in Heterogeneous nodes in WSN

Dinesh Kumari[1], Mohinder Malhotra[2]

[1]M.Tech Scholar (ECE) RIMT, Chidana, Gohana, Haryana
[2]H.O.D. (ECE), RIMT, Chidana, Gohana, Haryana

ABSTRACT

We study the impact of heterogeneity of the nodes to the performance of WSN. This paper surveys the different clustering algorithm for heterogeneous WSN .When an energy station from the basis to sink is secured. Route discovery, data combination and evidence loss are model as the procedures of pheromone diffusion, build-up and evaporation. Each sensor node estimates the residual energy and dynamically calculates chances to choice a best channel to spread the lifespan of WSNs. The energy saving schemes for homogeneous wireless scheme networks do not achieve efficiently when applied to heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. Thus, Energy efficient clustering protocols should be designed for the typical of heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. Through data transmission, sensor nodes spread material to the cluster head node so that the cluster head can aggregate all information. For some sensor nodes within the communication change of at least two cluster heads, it is also mentioned to as a entrance node.

Keywords: clustering, Hetroginity, cluster heads, sensor, application, WSNs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor networks are attracting countless interest in a number of application domains concerned with monitoring and control of physical phenomena, as they enable dense and effective deployments at low cost. However, application development is still one of the main hurdles to a wide adoption of WSN technology. Heterogeneous wireless sensor networks are very greatly valuable in real deployments because they are more near to real life and generation of wireless device network can be enhanced by hierarchical routing. Energy efficient clustering procedures should be calculated for the characteristic of heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. Another way to delay the lifetime of wireless sensor network is to adding a percentage of heterogeneous nodes.

Cluster structure variations somewhat in presence of gradual journals of network topology. All sensor nodes that are within the N trip count communication variety of the cluster head appropriate to this cluster. For any sensor nodes stealthy the communication range of at smallest two cluster heads, it is also stated to as a entry node. Upon data aggregation, the cluster head gathers the cluster material and sends a summary to neighboring cluster heads through gateway. Any cluster head saves information of each sensor nodes of the cluster in a topological table. Any cluster head kept information of each of sensor nodes of the cluster in a table. The table provides gateway assess with the neighbour cluster list and hop total list is to reach clusters and sink node cluster. Through data transmission, sensor nodes convey information to the cluster head node so that the cluster head can aggregate all information. The gateway sends evidence to neighboring cluster heads step by step till the endpoint cluster head is reached. Eventually all data will be sent to the sink node. Data aggregation is serious to prolong the lifespan of WSNs.

II. WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS (WSNS)

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) have gained worldwide attention in recent years due to the advances complete in Wireless communication, material technologies and electronics field. The development of low-cost, low-power, a multifunctional Sensor has received increasing attention from various industries. Sensor nodes or motes in WSNs are small sized and are capable of sensing, meeting and processing data while communicating with other linked nodes in the network, via radio frequency (RF) channel. Wireless sensor network are one of the category belongs to ad-hoc networks. A wireless ad hoc (WANET) is a provisional network that is set up between patrician nodes to satisfy an immediate need. The network size of a WSN can be everything from a few nodes up to many thousands of nodes. Other WANETs on the additional hand usually comprise of less than a hundred nodes. A Bluetooth, which can include

of up to a supreme of eight nodes, is an example of the WANET. Node thickness in a WSN is usually high, with a large number of nodes in a relatively small area, though other WANETs mostly involve of individual a few nodes in close nearness of each other. This is due to the size of nodes. A WSN node can be as small as a one, although other nodes are mostly notepad computers, palmtops or cellular telephones. The frequency of topology variations in a WSN is high, due to factors such by way of node failures, node additions, nodes touching and environmental interference. The link has to be able to adapt to these changes in node location and number. Topology alterations can happen as frequently as every few milliseconds. In other WANETs, nodes usually demand to join the network and leave the network after a certain dated of time, which is seldom less than a couple of minutes.

III. Related Work

Works done by different authors are as follows:

"RaziehSheikhpour, Sam Jabbehdari, Ahmad Khadem-Zadeh" shows the difference of Energy Efficient Clustering Protocols in Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks. A group of mobile or stationary nodes which are able to communicate with each other for transferring data more capably and separately can be defined as wireless sensor network. A lot of requests of wireless sensor network can be found in different field such as events, battleground surveillance, recognition security, drug identification and automatic security. Types of heterogeneous incomes There are three common classes of resource heterogeneity in sensor nodes computational heterogeneity link heterogeneity energy heterogeneity Computational heterogeneity earnings that the heterogeneous node has a more powerful microchip and more memory than the normal node.

"A. MeenaKowshalya, A. Sukanya" presented about Clustering Algorithms aimed at Heterogeneous Wireless sensor netwok. Wireless sensor networks (WSN) are emerging in various fields like ruin management, clash field surveillance and border security surveillance. A great number of sensors in these applications are unattended and work autonomously. Clustering is a main method to progress the network lifetime, reduce the energy consumption and increase the control of the sensor network. In this paper, we studied the impression of heterogeneity of the nodes to the performance of WSN. This paper surveys the diverse clustering algorithm for heterogeneous WSN. Placing heterogeneous nodes in the sensor network, declines response time and improve battery life time. The average energy being consume will be least in heterogeneous sensor networks for advancing a packet then the normal nodes to sink, later in life time.

"Zhengmao Ye a, Habib Mohamadian" define Cluster structure changes slightly in company of gradual revisions of network topology. All sensor nodules that are within the N trip count communication choice of the cluster head belong to this cluster. For any sensor nodes within the communication range of at least two cluster heads, it is also referred to as a opening node. Upon data aggregation, the cluster head are life collects the cluster information and sends a summary to neighboring cluster heads via gateway. When the mass of WSNs is being increased, extra amount of data flow involves so those sensor nodes near the basin node dissipate their energy more fast than other nodes less visited or unvisited. Since sensor nodes nearby the sink will use Any cluster head kept information of every sensor node of the cluster in a table.

"SohelRana, Ali NewazBahar, Nazrul Islam, Johirul Islam" shows The routing process contains the Clustering of nodes and the range of Cluster Head (CH) nodes of these clusters which sends all the material to the Cluster Head Leader (CHL). After that, the cluster head leaders send aggregated data to the Base Station (BS). The selection of cluster heads then cluster head leader is performed by using fuzzy reason and the data transmission process is performed via shortest energy path which is selected applying Dijkstra Algorithm. The imitation results of this research are compared with other protocols to evaluate the performance of the proposed routing protocol.Finally, the regular residual energy of the study is high which also means that it transmits extra data than other protocols. It also arranges that, this proposed protocol is an energy efficient protocol, which extends the network lifetime effectively.

"Prashant P. Rewagad, Harshal K. Nemade" gives the description about Automatic Cluster Development and Address Project for Wireless Sensor Network. Recently, ZigBeehas remained planned for addressing and routing on WSNs. It chains three kinds of network topologies, namely star, tree, and mesh networks. A ZigBee manager is responsible for initializing, maintaining, and controlling the network. Star networks can only cover small areas. For tree and mesh networks, communications can be conducted in a multi-hop fashion. The support of a tree/mesh scheme is formed by one ZigBee coordinator and multiple ZigBee routers. An end device must secondary with the coordinator or a router. In a tree network, routing can be complete in a stateless manner; a node can basically route packages based on nodes' 16-bit short addresses, which are assigned based on the tree structure.

"Hu Yua , Wang Xiaohuia" explain the use of Particle Swarm Optimization, Since wireless sensor networks (WSN) nodes are usually deployed in unreachable areas and often driven by very limited micro-power battery, so it is almost impossible to swap the battery for the node again. Therefore, to improve the energy efficiency of nodes to extend the network lifetime of a wireless sensor network routing are the main issues. This article aim to save nodes' energy,

balance energy feeding to extend the network lifetime for the purpose of the particle cluster is proposed to achieve balanced energy consumption in wireless sensor networks from the cluster head main clustering routing protocol (PSO-MV), the agreement first Particle swarm algorithm to excellent the best by the two nodes as the cluster head node, namely the main cluster head (Master Cluster Head, MCH) and from the cluster head (Vice Cluster Head, VCH); then the separation of effort between MCH and VCH, MCH is responsible for Cluster member nodes to collect evidence and send the grades of data fusion from the cluster head.

IV. CLUSTERING

Efficient Clustering in wireless sensor network remains present. Fake is existing using Matlab. We simulate unlike clustering protocols in heterogeneous WSN using MATLAB and for simulations we use dissimilar nodes casually placed in a field of dimension 50m×50m. For simplicity, we study all nodes are either fixed or micro-mobile and reduction energy mutilation due to signal collision and interfering between signals of different nodes that are due to dynamic chance station conditions.

Two Level Heterogeneous WSNs Model

Two level heterogeneous WSNs insurance two energy level of node, usual and advanced nodes. Where, E_o is the energy level of usual node and $E_o(1 + a)$ is the drive level of advanced nodes covering a times more energy as likened to normal nodes. If N is the entire number of nodes then Nm is the number of advanced nodes where m refers to the portion of advanced nodes and $N(1 - m)$ is the number of normal nodes. The total initial energy of the network is the sum of energies of normal and advanced nodes.

$$E_{total} = N(1 - m)E_o + Nm(1 + a)E_o$$
$$= NE_o(1 - m + m + am)$$
$$= NE_o(1 + am)$$

The two level heterogeneous WSNs contain "am"times more energy as compared to the homogeneous WSNs.

Three Level Heterogeneous WSN Model

Three level heterogeneous WSNs contain three different energy levels of nodes i.e normal, liberal and super nodes. Normal nodes cover energy of E_o, the advanced nodes of fraction m are having a times further energy than normal nodes equal to $E_o(1 + a)$ whereas, super nodes of fraction m_o are having a subject of b times more energy than normal nodes so their energy is equal to $E_o(1 + b)$. As N is the total number of nodes in the network, then Nmm_o is total number of super nodes and $Nm(1 - m_o)$ is total number of advanced nodes. The total first drive of three level heterogeneous WSN is therefore given by

$$E_{total} = N(1 - m)E_o + Nm(1 - mo)(1 + a)E_o + NmoE_o(1 + b)$$
$$E_{total} = NE_o(1 + m(a + mob))$$

The three level heterogeneous WSNs contain (a + mob) times more energy as compared toward homogeneous WSNs.Etotal is total energy of the network where Eround is energy expenditure during each

Multilevel Heterogeneous WSN Model

Multilevel heterogeneous WSN is a network that covers nodes of multiple energy levels. The initial energy of nodes is spread over the close set $[E_o, E_o(1 + a_{max})]$, where E_o is the lower certain and a_{max} is the value of maximal energy. Initially, node Si is equipped with initial energy of $E_o(1+a_i)$, which is ai times additional energy than the lower bound E_o. The total initial energy of multi-level heterogeneous networks is given by

$$E_{total} = \sum E_o(1+a_i) = E_o(N + \sum a_i)$$

CH nodes consume more energy as compared to member nodes so after some rounds energy level of all the nodes becomes different as compared to each other.

CONCLUSION

All the nodes have to send their statistics near BS often named as sink. Usually nodes in WSN are power forced unpaid to limited battery, it is also not possible to renew or replace battery of previously deployed nodes and nodes might be placed where they cannot be accessed. Nodes may be current far away from BS so direct communication is not feasible

due to limited battery as straight communication needs high energy. Clustering is the important technique for decreasing battery consumption in which members of the cluster select a Cluster Head.

REFERENCES

[1]. Nishi Sharma Department of Computer Science, Rajasthan Technical University Email: nishi.engg@gmail. Heterogeneous LEACH Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks

[2]. A. MeenaKowshalya, A. Sukanya 1Department of Information Technology, Amrita School of Engineering, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Amritanagar, Coimbatore. CLUSTERING ALGORITHMS FOR HETEROGENEOUS WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS – A BRIEF SURVEY

[3]. Nishi Sharma Department of Computer Science, Rajasthan Technical University Email: nishi.engg@gmail. Heterogeneous LEACH Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks

[4]. 1 RaziehSheikhpour1 , Sam Jabbehdari2 , Ahmad Khadem-Zadeh3 1,2Department of Computer Engineering, North Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran Comparison of Energy Efficient Clustering Protocols in Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks. PrashantP. Rewagad, 2Harshal K. Nemade. Automatic Cluster Formation and Address for Wireless Sensor Network.

[5]. Zhengmao Ye a, Habib Mohamadianaa College of Engineering, Southern University, Baton Rouge, LA 70813, USA. 2014 International Conference on Future Information Engineering. Adaptive Clustering Based Dynamic Routing of Wireless Sensor Networks via Generalized Ant Colony Optimization.