International Journal of Enhanced Research in Medicines & Dental Care (IJERMDC),
ISSN: 2349-1590, Vol. 13 Issue 1, January 2026

Emerging Trends in Image-Guided Surgery: Real Time Navigation System in Hepatobiliary, Colorectal and Endocrine Procedures

Dr. Dhivya Prasath[1*], T. Manisha[1], R. Mounisha[1], R. Preethi[1], N. Preethika[1], S. Priyadharshini[1]

[1*] Assistant Professor, Swamy Vivekanandha College of Pharmacy, India
[1] Pharm D, Swamy Vivekanandha College of Pharmacy, India

ABSTRACT

Real-time navigation systems in conjunction with image-guided surgery (IGS) provide a significant improvement in contemporary surgical practice, especially in minimally invasive and anatomically challenging operations. Real-time navigation improves surgical precision, safety, and decision-making by combining intraoperative imaging and tracking technologies with preoperative imaging modalities including computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and three-dimensional reconstruction. This study focuses on endocrine, colorectal, and hepatobiliary operations while thoroughly examining new developments in image-guided surgery. Intraoperative ultrasonography, indocyanine green fluorescence imaging, near-infrared autofluorescence, augmented and virtual reality, artificial intelligence, and multimodal navigation systems are among the important technologies covered. Real-time guiding enhances vascular mapping, tumor localization, and margin-negative resections during hepatobiliary surgery. Fluorescence-guided perfusion evaluation and lymphatic mapping can enhance oncologic outcomes and lower anastomotic leak rates in colorectal surgery. Advanced vision methods improve parathyroid identification and preservation during endocrine surgery, which lowers the risk of postoperative problems such hypocalcemia and nerve damage. Future directions are also examined in the paper, such as explainable human-in-the-loop models, federated learning, AI-driven predictive analytics, and deformation-aware navigation systems. Although encouraging, wider use need standardized procedures, strong clinical validation, and changing regulatory environments. All things considered, real-time image-guided navigation has the potential to revolutionize precision surgery and enhance patient-centered results.

Keywords: Image-guided surgery, Real-time navigation, Augmented reality, Indocyanine green fluorescence, Artificial intelligence, Minimally invasive surgery

INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades, surgery has seen a significant transformation, moving from traditional open procedures to highly accurate, minimally invasive, technology-assisted operations. The combination of image-guided surgery (IGS) and real-time navigation systems is one of the most revolutionary developments in contemporary surgery. It has greatly improved the accuracy, safety, and results of intricate surgical procedures. The use of real-time imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound, and fluorescence imaging, to guide surgical tools with high spatial accuracy during surgical procedures is known as image-guided surgery. These devices let surgeons to precisely locate lesions, see hidden anatomical features, and continually monitor surgical tools inside the patient's body [1][2]

The need for technology that enhance intraoperative visualization and lower human error has been fueled by the growing complexity of surgical situations, especially in anatomically sensitive and functionally important areas. During surgery, real-time navigation technologies give the surgeon dynamic input and constant spatial orientation, much like a global positioning system (GPS). These technologies increase surgical confidence, decrease operating time, limit problems, and improve patient safety by combining preoperative imaging with intraoperative navigation. Due to the limited tactile input available to the surgeon during minimally invasive and laparoscopic colorectal procedures, this is particularly crucial [3]

Real-time navigation and image guiding have greatly increased the safety of surgeries involving small and delicate tissues in the field of endocrine surgery, especially parathyroid and thyroid procedures. It is essential to accurately identify parathyroid adenomas, recurrent laryngeal nerves, and adjacent vascular structures in order to avoid problems like hypocalcaemia and voice alterations. The accuracy of endocrine surgical procedures has increased thanks to new methods including intraoperative ultrasonography and fluorescence-guided imaging in conjunction with navigation systems [4]

Real-time navigation in image-guided surgery is being further transformed by the quick development of artificial intelligence (AI), augmented reality (AR), and robotics. Improved 3D visualization, automated anatomical recognition, predictive analytics, and smooth integration between imaging and surgical tools are all made possible by these advancements. Because of this, surgeons are able to carry out increasingly complicated treatments with greater accuracy and less reliance on their own subjective judgment [5]

In light of this, the topic "Emerging Trends in Image-Guided Surgery: Real-Time Navigation Systems in Hepatobiliary, Colorectal, and Endocrine Procedures" is extremely pertinent in the current era of minimally invasive and precise surgery. As surgical care continues to grow toward higher precision, safety, and patient-centred outcomes, future surgeons, clinicians, and healthcare workers must comprehend the concepts, applications, advantages, and limitations of these cutting-edge systems.

TECHNOLOGICAL FOUNDATION OF IMAGE-GUIDED SURGERY

The convergence of cutting-edge imaging modalities, real-time tracking systems, computational modelling, and user-friendly visualization platforms forms the technological basis of image-guided surgery (IGS). Together, these elements give surgeons dynamic anatomical information and exact spatial alignment during the procedure. Improvements in preoperative imaging, particularly computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and high-resolution ultrasound, laid the foundation for IGS by enabling precise three-dimensional reconstructions of human anatomy. According to Cleary and Peters, the development of these imaging systems served as the foundation for contemporary navigation platforms by offering high-fidelity, patient-specific models that are necessary for accurate intervention [1][6]

Imaging prior to surgery and 3D reconstruction

The structural basis of IGS is provided by high-resolution imaging modalities such computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and contrast-enhanced ultrasonography. Organ, vascular, and pathological lesion 3D reconstructions are produced by processing these datasets using specialized software. 3D liver models are used in hepatobiliary surgery to map tumor margins, vascular variations, and segmental anatomy. Imaging is useful for defining the margins of tumors and the mesenteric vasculature during colorectal surgeries. Multimodal imaging helps locate tiny glands and adenomas in endocrine surgery, particularly thyroid and parathyroid procedures [7]

Spatial Alignment and Registration

The preoperative 3D models and the patient's actual anatomy are aligned through registration. Anatomical landmarks, skin markings, or automated software-based algorithms can all be used to do this. In order to guarantee that surgical navigation accurately matches the virtual anatomy that is shown, accurate registration is essential. In minimally invasive surgery, Marescaux and Diana characterize registration as the crucial stage that converts images into useful intraoperative guidance [8]

Imaging during surgery in real time

When it comes to delicate organs like the liver, intraoperative imaging is especially crucial since it refreshes anatomical information and corrects for tissue deformation. Typical modalities are as follows: Mapping liver tumors and biliary structures using intraoperative ultrasound (IOUS). Bile ducts, parathyroid glands, and colorectal tumor margins can all be seen with fluorescence imaging, particularly with indocyanine green (ICG). Cone-beam CT to verify anatomical orientation and resection precision in real time. By offering dynamic feedback in addition to preoperative imaging, these tools lower uncertainty while manipulating tissue [9]

Real-Time Intraoperative Imaging:

In order to update anatomical data and account for tissue deformation, or "organ shift," IGS systems frequently integrate intraoperative imaging. Some important modalities are: Fluorescence imaging employing indocyanine green (ICG) to show bile ducts, tumors, and parathyroid glands; Intraoperative ultrasound (IOUS) for dynamic visualization of liver tumors and biliary structures; Cone-beam CT for real-time 3D verification of surgical progress. In particular, when soft tissues shift or deform during manipulation, these technologies guarantee that navigation is precise throughout the process [10]

Software for Navigation and Interface Technologies

Navigation systems create intuitive visual dashboards that incorporate tracking data, imaging data, and intraoperative input. Surgeons can picture: Trajectories of instruments in real time, 3D models of the anatomy Overlays of organ, AR (augmented reality) displays. According to Arezzo et al., AR-enhanced navigation greatly increases operating precision and anatomical orientation, especially in minimally invasive colorectal surgery [11]

Artificial Intelligence and Computational Algorithms

Advanced computational support is becoming more and more important for modern IGS platforms. AI-driven segmentation to produce 3D organ models automatically. Algorithms for prediction that suggest safe resection planes. Machine-learning systems that improve workflow efficiency and imaging quality. Modelling deformation in real time to fix navigational mistakes. These advancements aid in overcoming manual constraints and extending navigation systems' capabilities beyond traditional imaging methods [12]

IMAGE-GUIDED SURGERY IN COLORECTAL PROCEDURES

Image-guided surgery (IGS) has become a major advancement in modern colorectal procedures, particularly in minimally invasive and robotic surgery where tactile feedback is limited. One of the most impactful tools is indocyanine green (ICG) fluorescence imaging, which enables real-time visualization of bowel perfusion. Several high-quality clinical studies have shown that ICG-guided perfusion assessment significantly reduces anastomotic leak rates compared with conventional visual assessment alone [13][14]

IGS also supports more accurate lymphatic staging in colorectal cancer through near-infrared–guided sentinel lymph node mapping, which enhances nodal detection and guides oncologically sound resections [15][16]. In addition, the emergence of augmented reality (AR) and artificial intelligence (AI) in colorectal navigation is transforming the surgeon’s ability to visualize difficult anatomical planes. AR overlays of preoperative CT/MRI imaging improve spatial orientation during rectal surgery and enhance pelvic nerve preservation. Robotic platforms further integrate ICG-guided lymph node mapping to improve nodal yield and surgical precision. [17]

IMAGE-GUIDED SURGERY IN ENDOCRINE PROCEDURES

Image-guided surgery has greatly enhanced precision in endocrine operations, especially thyroid and parathyroid surgery. One of the most transformative innovations is near-infrared autofluorescence (NIRAF), which allows natural visualization of parathyroid glands without the need for dyes. Meta-analyses show that NIRAF significantly reduces postoperative hypocalcemia by improving parathyroid gland identification and preservation during thyroidectomy. [18] In addition, indocyanine green (ICG) fluorescence imaging is increasingly used to assess parathyroid gland perfusion and to help identify hyperfunctioning adenomas during minimally invasive parathyroidectomy. [19][20] This enhances cure rates and reduces the risk of inadvertent gland removal. Image-guided platforms also support safer thyroid surgery by integrating real-time recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) monitoring with navigation systems, improving anatomical and functional nerve preservation. [21] Furthermore, ICG angiography during thyroidectomy helps confirm parathyroid viability, thereby lowering the likelihood of postoperative hypocalcemia. [22]

IMAGE-GUIDED SURGERY IN HEPATOBILIARY PROCEDURES

Role of Intraoperative Ultrasound (IOUS)

The foundation of hepatobiliary image guiding is still IOUS. Hepatic vasculature, tumor margins, and occult lesions not seen on pre-operative imaging can all be seen in real time. IOUS facilitates accurate mapping of hepatic veins and portal pedicles, directing anatomic segmental resections and minimizing unintentional vascular damage. Research indicates that in 20–40% of liver resections, IOUS alters surgical planning by finding new lesions or changing the resection margins. [23]

Indocyanine Green (ICG) Fluorescence Imaging

Due to its great sensitivity in detecting segment borders, biliary architecture, and tiny hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) nodules, ICG near-infrared fluorescence is frequently employed in HPB surgery. Intraoperatively, tumors with decreased biliary clearance exhibit fluorescence retention, producing a vivid outline. ICG-guided surgery is particularly useful in minimally invasive liver surgery and increases R0 resection rates. [24]

Multimodal Navigation Systems

In order to provide AR-based guiding, next-generation navigation systems incorporate pre-operative CT/MRI, 3D liver models, and intraoperative imaging (IOUS, fluorescence). By projecting 3D liver anatomy onto the operating area, these devices provide safe parenchymal transection and enhance comprehension of tumor-vessel interactions. In difficult resections close to major hepatic veins and Glissonian structures, where accuracy is crucial, navigation devices have been beneficial. [25]

Augmented Reality–Assisted Liver Surgery
Using cameras or laparoscopic lenses, augmented reality superimposes pre-operative models on the actual intraoperative vision. AR boosts the confidence of surgeons by:

- Segmental boundary mapping during laparoscopic resections
- Recognizing deep-seated cancers
- Steer clear of major vasculature
- Research shows that AR support can shorten surgical times and lower transfusion rates, although accuracy is dependent on organ deformation and the quality of real-time registration. [26]

Role in Laparoscopic and Robotic Hepatobiliary Surgery
These days, robotic systems (like da Vinci) have integrated fluorescence imaging (FireflyTM) and enable the smooth integration of navigation overlays. In addition to fluorescence and ultrasound guidance, the improved dexterity and 3D visualization allow:

- Accurate segmentectomy
- Intricate dissections of the hilar
- Robotic-ICG hybrid approaches for accurate lymphadenectomy are particularly useful for biliary reconstructions and cholangiocarcinoma surgery. [27]

Clinical Benefits
Measurable improvements in clinical outcomes are achieved by image-guided hepatobiliary surgery, including:

- Higher R0 resection rates in colorectal liver metastases and HCC
- Improved maintenance of the functional liver residual
- Decreased rates of bile duct injuries
- More precise segmental boundary identification
- Reduced conversion rates in minimally invasive procedures

In general, IGS improves postoperative results and surgeon confidence, especially in anatomically challenging HPB procedures. [27][28]

AUGMENTED REALITY (AR) & VIRTUAL REALITY (VR) IN IMAGE-GUIDED SURGERY

By improving anatomical visualization and operating precision, augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) have become potent additions to contemporary image-guided surgery. By combining live surgical video with pre-operative CT/MRI-derived 3D reconstructions, AR improves orientation during difficult hepatobiliary, colorectal, and endocrine procedures by enabling surgeons to see tumor boundaries, vascular structures, and organ contours directly superimposed onto the operating field. [28] In minimally invasive HPB surgery, where tactile feedback is limited, this real-time overlay is particularly helpful in identifying small or deep lesions, defining segmental planes, and preventing significant biliary or vascular damage. [29] By integrating fluorescence imaging with 3D anatomical guidance, AR systems incorporated into robotic platforms further improve precision, facilitating safe dissection and enhancing margin accuracy. [30] On the other hand, surgeons can practice patient-specific procedures utilizing recreated 3D anatomy thanks to virtual reality (VR), which offers immersive pre-operative simulation. [31]

AI AND PREDICTION ANALYSIS

AI-Powered Intraoperative Guidance: Beyond human limits, real-time AI systems incorporate multimodal surgical data to assess anatomy and surgical environment. AI models are capable of performing semantic segmentation of intraoperative video frames in hepatobiliary surgery, accurately recognizing vital structures like tumor boundaries and arteries. By enabling augmented reality (AR) overlays that provide the surgeon with information in almost real time, such segmentation helps prevent unintentional damage to critical anatomy. [32]

Predictive Models for Risk Assessment and Surgical Planning
Beyond intraoperative recognition, predictive analytics using ML models enhance both preoperative and intraoperative decision support. In the hepatobiliary field, AI-driven risk prediction models integrating clinical variables and imaging biomarkers outperform traditional scoring systems in forecasting postoperative complications such as pancreatic fistula or liver dysfunction. Such models often reach higher predictive performance, with reported areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) values consistently above conventional tools. [32]

Feedback loops and dynamic real-time analytics
By integrating real-time information, cutting-edge AI navigation seeks to go beyond static visualization. For instance, depending on changing surgical context and instrument motion patterns, edge-AI architectures trained on extensive laparoscopic datasets are able to identify events and provide intraoperative warnings. These algorithms provide clinician-oriented alerts that are similar to human interpretation performance by combining image, text, and audio data. [33]

Augmented Reality (AR) & 3D Visualization

AR systems combine preoperative 3D reconstructions with real-time imaging to improve orientation in procedures where spatial relationships are complex. This has been described in general surgical reviews of cutting-edge visualization technologies. [34]

Predictive navigation's ethical, legal, and validation issues

There are serious ethical and legal issues with the therapeutic application of AI-based predictive navigation systems. Current medical device approval frameworks, which are built for static algorithms, are challenged by continuous-learning models. Furthermore, when intraoperative judgments are influenced by predictive technologies, liability attribution becomes complicated. The current agreement emphasizes that in order to guarantee patient safety and legal accountability, prospective multicenter trials, locked-algorithm validation periods, and human-in-the-loop oversight are essential. [35][36]

FUTURE PREDICTION

Continuously Updating, Deformation-Aware Navigation Systems

The creation of deformation-aware navigation platforms that can update anatomical models constantly during the treatment is the next significant advancement in image-guided surgery. For soft-tissue surgeries like liver resections or pelvic colorectal procedures, the strict registration of preoperative imaging that is a major component of current navigation systems is insufficient. In order to dynamically adjust for organ movement and tissue deformation, future systems will combine artificial intelligence-based biomechanical modeling with real-time intraoperative imaging (ultrasound, laparoscopic video, optical tracking). By directly learning tissue behavior from extensive surgical datasets, machine learning-based deformation modeling is anticipated to outperform conventional physics-based methods, allowing for safer surgical guidance and more accurate augmented reality overlays. [37]

Decision-Based, Predictive Navigation Systems

Predictive decision-support systems will replace passive visualization tools in navigation platforms of the future. AI models will be able to predict intraoperative problems, margin positives, or functional impairment risks before they happen by combining preoperative imaging, intraoperative video, physiological factors, and historical outcome data. Predictive analytics may help make decisions in real time on vascular control techniques or resection planes in hepatobiliary surgery. Such systems could balance oncologic radicality with functional outcomes in colorectal and endocrine surgery by giving priority to nerve preservation or gland viability. The development of surgical strategies has fundamentally changed as a result of this move toward predictive navigation. [35][38]

Integration of Multimodal and Multispectral Imaging

Future image-guided surgery will depend more and more on multimodal and multispectral imaging, integrating ultrasound, hyperspectral imaging, fluorescence imaging (ICG, NIRAF), and traditional laparoscopy into unified navigation interfaces. In order to combine these disparate data streams into logical, clinically significant recommendations, artificial intelligence will be essential. Autofluorescence and AI-based gland detection in endocrine surgery may further lower hypoparathyroidism rates. Beyond existing fluorescence-only systems, multispectral imaging may enhance tumor characterisation and perfusion assessment in hepatobiliary and colorectal treatments. [39][40]

Federated Learning and Global Surgical Data Networks

A major barrier to AI advancement in surgical navigation is the scarcity of large, diverse, annotated datasets. Future progress will depend on federated learning frameworks that allow multi-institutional model training without centralized data sharing. Such approaches preserve patient privacy while enabling models to generalize across different populations, surgical techniques, and imaging systems. Federated learning is particularly relevant for rare endocrine procedures and complex hepatobiliary surgeries, where single-center datasets are insufficient for robust model development. [41][42]

Explainable AI Navigation and Human-in-the-Loop

Human-in-the-loop architectures will be given priority in future navigation systems, guaranteeing that surgeons continue to make the ultimate decisions. To foster trust and satisfy legal requirements, explainable AI methods like uncertainty quantification, attention visualization, and confidence mapping will be crucial. AI will serve as an adaptive cognitive assistant, providing interpretable insights that complement rather than replace physician skill, rather than taking the place of surgical judgment. [36][43]

Clinical Validation and Regulatory Evolution

Future adoption of real-time AI-guided navigation will depend on prospective, multicenter clinical trials demonstrating measurable improvements in patient outcomes, not merely technical performance. Regulatory frameworks must also evolve to accommodate adaptive and continuously learning systems, with clear guidelines for post-deployment monitoring and accountability. [44][45][46]

Trading, Workflow and Regulatory Considerations
Training: AR + real-time guidance shortens learning curves but requires new curricula (simulation, image registration understanding). [47][48] Workflow: success depends on seamless integration into OR (sterile displays, easy registration, minimal extra time). Current barriers are registration errors, soft tissue deformation, cost. [49] Regulation & evidence: regulators will require outcomes trials (not just feasibility). Currently most data are observational/pilot; expect randomized studies in next 3–5 years. [50][51]

CONCLUSION

Hepatobiliary, colorectal, and endocrine surgery are changing as a result of real-time image-guided navigation systems, which allow for better anatomical visibility, better intraoperative decision-making, and increased surgical accuracy. Artificial intelligence, augmented reality, fluorescence methods, and improved imaging have all shown great promise for improving oncologic outcomes, lowering complications, and facilitating less invasive procedures. The therapeutic efficacy of these systems is anticipated to be further expanded by emerging technologies including multimodal imaging platforms, predictive AI models, and deformation-aware navigation. However, issues with cost, workflow integration, training needs, and regulatory approval continue to be major obstacles to widespread implementation. High-quality prospective studies, standardized implementation techniques, and the moral, open usage of AI-driven technologies will all be necessary for future advancements. Image-guided real-time navigation is expected to become a crucial part of precision surgery as these technologies advance, promoting safer operations, better results, and more individualized surgical care.

REFERENCES

[1]. Cleary K, Peters TM. Image-guided interventions: technology review and clinical applications. Annu Rev Biomed Eng [Internet]. 2010;12(1):119–42. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-070909-105249
[2]. Marescaux J, Diana M. Next step in minimally invasive surgery: hybrid image-guided surgery. J Pediatr Surg [Internet]. 2015;50(1):30–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2014.10.022
[3]. Pocard M. A Journal of Visceral Surgery with international standing that enhances the recognition of authors, clearly states all links of interest and is plagiarism-free--we owed you this much. J Visc Surg [Internet]. 2016;153(1):1–2. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2015.12.003
[4]. Image-guided navigation in liver surgery [Internet]. Researcher.life. The British journal of surgery; 2025 [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://discovery.researcher.life/article/image-guided-navigation-in-liver-surgery/5eaa5bed96173cc089acaa9b0700006e
[5]. Wojtczak B, Syrycka J, Kaliszewski K, Rudnicki J, Bolanowski M, Barczyński M. Surgical implications of recent modalities for parathyroid imaging. Gland Surg [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2025 Dec 17];9(Suppl 2):S86–94. Available from: https://gs.amegroups.org/article/view/32337/html
[6]. Chopra H, Munjal K, Arora S, Bibi S, Biswas P. Role of augmented reality in surgery: editorial. Int J Surg [Internet]. 2024;110(5):2526–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JS9.0000000000001219
[7]. Researchgate.net. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/10899227_Validation_of_medical_image_processing_in_image-guided_therapy
[8]. Nicolau S, Soler L, Mutter D, Marescaux J. Augmented reality in laparoscopic surgical oncology. Surg Oncol [Internet]. 2011;20(3):189–201. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.suronc.2011.07.002
[9]. Nicolau S, Soler L, Mutter D, Marescaux J. Augmented reality in laparoscopic surgical oncology. Surg Oncol [Internet]. 2011;20(3):189–201. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.suronc.2011.07.002
[10]. Marescaux J, Diana M, Soler L. Augmented Reality and minimally invasive surgery. J Gastroenterol Hepatol Res [Internet]. 2013; Available from: https://scispace.com/pdf/augmented-reality-and-minimally-invasive-surgery-28yki1bswk.pdf
[11]. Marescaux J, Diana M, Soler L. Augmented Reality and minimally invasive surgery. J Gastroenterol Hepatol Res [Internet]. 2013; Available from: https://scispace.com/pdf/augmented-reality-and-minimally-invasive-surgery-28yki1bswk.pdf
[12]. Marescaux J, Diana M, Soler L. Augmented Reality and minimally invasive surgery. J Gastroenterol Hepatol Res [Internet]. 2013; Available from: https://scispace.com/pdf/augmented-reality-and-minimally-invasive-surgery-28yki1bswk.pdf
[13]. Marescaux J, Diana M, Soler L. Augmented Reality and minimally invasive surgery. J Gastroenterol Hepatol Res [Internet]. 2013; Available from: https://scispace.com/pdf/augmented-reality-and-minimally-invasive-surgery-28yki1bswk.pdf
[14]. Su H, Wu H, Bao M, Luo S, Wang X, Zhao C, et al. Indocyanine green fluorescence imaging to assess bowel perfusion during totally laparoscopic surgery for colon cancer. BMC Surg [Internet]. 2020;20(1):102. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12893-020-00745-4

[15]. Yang X, Chen L, He X. A commentary on "Usefulness of fluorescence imaging with indocyanine green for evaluation of bowel perfusion in the urgency setting: a systematic review and meta-analysis." Int J Surg [Internet]. 2025;111(11):8687–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JS9.0000000000002970
[16]. Uk Bae S. Current status and future of robotic surgery for colorectal cancer-an English version. J Anus Rectum Colon [Internet]. 2022;6(4):221–30. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.23922/jarc.2022-047
[17]. Alius C, Tudor C, Badiu CD, Dascalu AM, Smarandache CG, Sabau AD, et al. Indocyanine green-enhanced colorectal surgery-between being superfluous and being a game-changer. Diagnostics (Basel) [Internet]. 2020;10(10):742. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics10100742
[18]. Ribero D, Mento F, Sega V, Lo Conte D, Mellano A, Spinoglio G. ICG-guided lymphadenectomy during surgery for colon and rectal cancer-interim analysis of the GREENLIGHT trial. Biomedicines [Internet]. 2022;10(3):541. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines10030541
[19]. Barbieri D, Indelicato P, Vinciguerra A, Salerno E, Battista RA, Di Marco F, et al. The impact of near-infrared autofluorescence on postoperative hypoparathyroidism during total thyroidectomy: a case-control study. Endocrine [Internet]. 2023;79(2):392–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12020-022-03222-5
[20]. Yin S, Pan B, Yang Z, Tang M, Mo H, Li Y, et al. Combined use of autofluorescence and indocyanine green fluorescence imaging in the identification and evaluation of parathyroid glands during total thyroidectomy: A randomized controlled trial. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) [Internet]. 2022;13:897797. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.897797
[21]. Demarchi MS, Karenovics W, Bédat B, Triponez F. Intraoperative autofluorescence and indocyanine green angiography for the detection and preservation of parathyroid glands. J Clin Med [Internet]. 2020;9(3):830. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm9030830
[22]. Wu C-W, Randolph GW, Lu I-C, Chang P-Y, Chen Y-T, Hun P-C, et al. Intraoperative neural monitoring in thyroid surgery: lessons learned from animal studies. Gland Surg [Internet]. 2016;5(5):473–80. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs.2016.09.06
[23]. Moreno Llorente P, García Barrasa A, Francos Martínez JM, Alberich Prats M, Pascua Solé M. Intraoperative indocyanine green angiography of parathyroid glands and the prevention of post-thyroidectomy hypocalcemia. World J Surg [Internet]. 2022;46(1):121–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00268-021-06322-x
[24]. Bartoș A, Iancu I, Ciobanu L, Badea R, Spârchez Z, Bartoș DM. Intraoperative ultrasound in liver and pancreatic surgery. Med Ultrason [Internet]. 2021;23(3):319–28. Available from: https://www.medultrason.ro/medultrason/index.php/medultrason/article/download/2853/1839
[25]. Lww.com. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/annalsofsurgery/fulltext/2022/06000/indocyanine_green_fluorescence_navigation_in_liver.1.aspx
[26]. Researchgate.net. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358369978_Indocyanine_Green_Fluorescence_Navigation_in_Liver_Surgery_A_Systematic_Review_on_Dose_and_Timing_of_Administration
[27]. Gavriilidis P, Edwin B, Pelanis E, Hidalgo E, de'Angelis N, Memeo R, et al. Navigated liver surgery: State of the art and future perspectives. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int [Internet]. 2022;21(3):226–33. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hbpd.2021.09.002
[28]. Giannone F, Felli E, Cherkaoui Z, Mascagni P, Pessaux P. Augmented Reality and image-guided robotic liver surgery. Cancers (Basel) [Internet]. 2021;13(24):6268. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers13246268
[29]. Boni L, David G, Mangano A, Dionigi G, Rausei S, Spampatti S, et al. Clinical applications of indocyanine green (ICG) enhanced fluorescence in laparoscopic surgery. Surg Endosc [Internet]. 2015;29(7):2046–55. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-014-3895-x
[30]. Researchgate.net. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/4118083_Virtual_Reality_and_Augmented_Reality_in_Digestive_Surgery
[31]. Kenngott HG, Wagner M, Gondan M, Nickel F, Nolden M, Fetzer A, et al. Real-time image guidance in laparoscopic liver surgery: first clinical experience with a guidance system based on intraoperative CT imaging. Surg Endosc [Internet]. 2014;28(3):933–40. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-013-3249-0
[32]. van Manen L, Handgraaf HJM, Diana M, Dijkstra J, Ishizawa T, Vahrmeijer AL, et al. A practical guide for the use of indocyanine green and methylene blue in fluorescence-guided abdominal surgery. J Surg Oncol [Internet]. 2018;118(2):283–300. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jso.25105
[33]. Morales-Conde S, Licardie E, Alarcón I, Balla A. Indocyanine green (ICG) fluorescence guide for the use and indications in general surgery: recommendations based on the descriptive review of the literature and the analysis of experience. Cir Esp (Engl Ed) [Internet]. 2022;100(9):534–54. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cireng.2022.06.023
[34]. Efstathiou A, Charitaki E, Triantopoulou C, Delis S. Artificial intelligence and digital tools across the hepato-pancreato-biliary surgical pathway: A systematic review. J Clin Med [Internet]. 2025;14(18):6501. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm14186501

[35]. Ma Y, Wei Y, Shi B, Liang A, Liang W, Lin X, et al. Intraoperative navigation system for liver resection based on edge-AI and multimodal AI. Surg Endosc [Internet]. 2025;39(9):5957–66. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00464-025-12021-8

[36]. Brollo PP, Bresadola V. Enhancing visualization and guidance in general surgery: a comprehensive and narrative review of the current cutting-edge technologies and future perspectives. J Gastrointest Surg [Internet]. 2024;28(2):179–85. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gassur.2023.12.009

[37]. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nat Med [Internet]. 2019;25(1):44–56. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7

[38]. Park SH, Dean G, Ortiz EM, Choi J-I. Overview of south Korean guidelines for approval of large language or multimodal models as medical devices: Key features and areas for improvement. Korean J Radiol [Internet]. 2025;26(6):519–23. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2025.0257

[39]. Researchgate.net. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336715423_CAI4CAI_The_Rise_of_Contextual_Artificial_Intelligence_in_Computer_Assisted_Interventions

[40]. Hashimoto DA, Rosman G, Rus D, Meireles OR. Artificial intelligence in surgery: Promises and perils. Ann Surg [Internet]. 2018;268(1):70–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000002693

[41]. Luchini C, Pea A, Scarpa A. Artificial intelligence in oncology: current applications and future perspectives. Br J Cancer [Internet]. 2022;126(1):4–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41416-021-01633-1

[42]. Kahramangil B, Berber E. The use of near-infrared fluorescence imaging in endocrine surgical procedures. J Surg Oncol [Internet]. 2017;115(7):848–55. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jso.24583

[43]. Sheller MJ, Edwards B, Reina GA, Martin J, Pati S, Kotrotsou A, et al. Federated learning in medicine: facilitating multi-institutional collaborations without sharing patient data. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2020;10(1):12598. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69250-1

[44]. Padoy N. Machine and deep learning for workflow recognition during surgery. Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol [Internet]. 2019;28(2):82–90. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13645706.2019.1584116

[45]. Holzinger A, Biemann C, Pattichis CS, Kell DB. What do we need to build explainable AI systems for the medical domain? [Internet]. arXiv [cs.AI]. 2017. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.09923

[46]. Kelly CJ, Karthikesalingam A, Suleyman M, Corrado G, King D. Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence. BMC Med [Internet]. 2019;17(1):195. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1426-2

[47]. Nhs.uk. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/Topol%20Review%20interim%20report_0.pdf

[48]. Mdpi.com. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/3/1629

[49]. Cremades Pérez M, Espin Álvarez F, Pardo Aranda F, Navinés López J, Vidal Piñeiro L, Zarate Pinedo A, et al. Augmented reality in hepatobiliary-pancreatic surgery: a technology at your fingertips. Cir Esp (Engl Ed) [Internet]. 2023;101(5):312–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cireng.2023.02.004

[50]. Roman J, Sengul I, Němec M, Sengul D, Penhaker M, Strakoš P, et al. Augmented and mixed reality in liver surgery: a comprehensive narrative review of novel clinical implications on cohort studies. Rev Assoc Med Bras [Internet]. 2025;71(6):e20250315. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.20250315

[51]. Jamanetwork.com. [cited 2025 Dec 17]. Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamasurgery/fullarticle/2830866