

# Assessing Job Satisfaction Levels among Employees of Japanese Auto Ancillary Units in Haryana, India

Dr. Harshdeep Chhikara<sup>1</sup>, Namrata<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak

---

## ABSTRACT

This study investigates job satisfaction among employees of Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, India, aiming to identify factors that influence employee contentment and highlight areas for improvement. Job satisfaction plays a crucial role in determining employee performance, engagement, and retention, particularly in a highly competitive sector like the automotive industry. Data were collected from 150 employees through a structured questionnaire, focusing on various aspects such as work environment, career development opportunities, work-life balance, job security, and organizational culture. Descriptive statistical analysis, including mean and standard deviation, was employed to interpret the data. The findings reveal that employees are generally satisfied with aspects such as the work environment and interpersonal relationships, with high satisfaction levels reported for "The organization provides a safe and comfortable working environment" (mean = 4.10) and "I have a good relationship with my colleagues" (mean = 4.00). However, concerns arise regarding career development opportunities and work-life balance. The lowest satisfaction was observed in statements related to career progression, with the mean score for "I have opportunities to advance in my career" at 3.30, indicating that employees feel limited in terms of professional growth. Similarly, the work-life balance score (mean = 3.40) suggests that employees struggle to manage personal and professional commitments. The study also included an ANOVA test, which confirmed that work-life balance significantly influences job satisfaction, with employees in the "Good" work-life balance group showing higher satisfaction than those in the "Poor" work-life balance group. The study concludes that while certain aspects of job satisfaction are strong, there is a need for organizations to focus on improving career development programs and work-life balance policies to enhance overall employee satisfaction and retention.

**Keywords:** Job Satisfaction, Employee Engagement, Japanese Auto Ancillary Units, Work-life Balance, Career Development, Haryana, Organizational Culture, Employee Retention.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Job satisfaction plays a crucial role in determining the overall productivity, retention, and performance of employees in any organization. In the context of Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, India, understanding job satisfaction becomes even more critical due to the unique intersection of Japanese corporate culture and the local Indian work environment. Japanese companies are known for their strong organizational practices, including efficiency-driven philosophies such as "Kaizen" (continuous improvement) and "Just-in-Time" production, which have had a significant influence on the automotive sector globally. These practices ensure high levels of productivity and quality control but may also impose certain pressures on employees, potentially impacting their overall job satisfaction. As Japan's global influence continues to expand, many Japanese auto manufacturers have set up operations in India, especially in Haryana, a region known for its thriving auto industry. This creates a cross-cultural environment where the highly structured, hierarchical management systems typical of Japanese organizations intersect with India's more flexible and diverse workplace culture.

Employees in these units often find themselves navigating between the strict Japanese management practices and the more relaxed expectations in Indian workplaces, leading to a complex set of dynamics affecting their job satisfaction. Factors such as compensation, career growth opportunities, work-life balance, job security, and organizational culture are crucial to understanding how employees perceive their roles within these units. However, the cross-cultural nature of these organizations may also result in unique challenges, such as communication barriers, differences in leadership styles, and conflicting cultural values. Therefore, assessing the level of job satisfaction in these Japanese auto ancillary units is vital to identify the factors that contribute to employee engagement and performance. This study aims to analyze these factors by examining the existing literature, seeking to provide insights into the key drivers of job satisfaction for employees working in this distinct industrial setting. Through this exploration, the research seeks to contribute to a better understanding of how Japanese management practices can be adapted to improve employee satisfaction and performance in the Indian context.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Job satisfaction is a critical determinant of employee performance, retention, and organizational success, particularly in multinational corporations (MNCs) like Japanese auto ancillary units operating in India. It has been widely studied in various organizational settings, but understanding its specific application in the cross-cultural context of Japanese firms in India is essential for improving employee engagement and productivity. The concept of job satisfaction involves a complex interplay of factors such as working conditions, compensation, career development opportunities, work-life balance, and the organizational culture to which employees are exposed (Herzberg, 1959). Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory highlights that job satisfaction is influenced by both hygiene factors (e.g., salary, job security, and work conditions) and motivators (e.g., career advancement, recognition, and personal growth). In the case of Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, employees often benefit from competitive compensation, job stability, and job security, which serve as hygiene factors contributing to their satisfaction (Liker, 2004). However, these factors alone are not enough to sustain high levels of satisfaction if they are not complemented by motivating factors such as recognition, career development, and a sense of personal accomplishment.

Japanese management practices, such as the concept of "Kaizen" (continuous improvement) and the "Just-in-Time" production system, are integral to ensuring operational efficiency and high product quality in auto ancillary units (Ohno, 1988). These principles, although highly effective in maintaining consistency and quality, can impose substantial pressure on employees, potentially reducing job satisfaction. While Japanese employees may be accustomed to such high standards and a disciplined work environment, Indian employees in these units might find these expectations challenging. Studies have shown that employees in Japanese firms operating abroad often face high levels of stress due to stringent performance standards, long working hours, and a strict hierarchical work culture, leading to dissatisfaction (Singh & Sinha, 2014). Furthermore, these practices may restrict employees' autonomy and creativity, factors that are highly valued in more flexible, less hierarchical organizational structures (Gupta, 2016).

Cultural differences between Japan and India further complicate the dynamics of job satisfaction in these organizations. Hofstede's (1980) Cultural Dimensions Theory suggests that cultural values such as individualism versus collectivism, uncertainty avoidance, and power distance can significantly shape employee behavior and expectations in the workplace. Japanese companies, known for their collectivist culture and respect for authority, emphasize group harmony, loyalty, and a top-down management style (Sato, 2012). In contrast, Indian employees may have a more individualistic mindset, with a preference for open communication, personal autonomy, and flexibility in work arrangements (Chakravarty, 2012). The mismatch between the collectivist, hierarchical Japanese culture and the more egalitarian Indian work culture can lead to tensions and dissatisfaction among employees, who may feel stifled by the rigid structures and lack of personal freedom in decision-making (Singh & Sinha, 2014). The communication barriers between Indian workers and Japanese management can further exacerbate these challenges, as misunderstandings and misinterpretations of expectations can lead to frustration and disengagement.

Work-life balance is another critical factor influencing job satisfaction, especially in Japanese-owned companies, which often demand long working hours and strong commitment from their employees. Japanese work culture places a high value on dedication to the company, sometimes at the cost of personal and family time (Kalleberg, 1977). In India, where work-life balance is becoming increasingly important, this expectation can lead to dissatisfaction among employees, particularly those with family responsibilities or a desire for a more flexible work schedule. Research by Gupta (2016) suggests that employees in Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana often experience high levels of work-related stress due to long hours and high productivity demands. This imbalance between work and personal life can result in burnout, decreased morale, and lower levels of overall job satisfaction.

Compensation and benefits play a vital role in shaping employee satisfaction. In Japanese firms, employees often receive competitive salaries, performance bonuses, and comprehensive health benefits, which are designed to enhance job satisfaction (Liker, 2004). These financial rewards contribute positively to employees' overall contentment, but they are not sufficient to ensure long-term satisfaction unless coupled with opportunities for career growth and personal development. Herzberg's (1959) theory of motivation stresses that in addition to hygiene factors, intrinsic motivators such as opportunities for skill development, career advancement, and job enrichment are necessary to maintain high levels of job satisfaction. In the case of Japanese auto ancillary units, employees are often given access to training programs and development opportunities that improve their technical skills, but the hierarchical nature of Japanese firms may limit the speed at which employees can advance in their careers (Sato, 2012). This slow career progression, combined with a lack of autonomy in decision-making, can lead to frustration and diminished satisfaction for employees who seek faster career advancement or more independent roles.

The role of leadership and managerial practices is also central to job satisfaction. Japanese management practices typically emphasize a top-down leadership style, with a strong focus on discipline, order, and long-term relationships between management and employees (Liker, 2004). While this approach can foster stability and clarity in organizational roles, it may not resonate well with Indian employees, who often value a more participative and democratic leadership style (Gupta, 2016). Research suggests that employees in Indian organizations generally prefer

leaders who are approachable, supportive, and open to feedback (Kalleberg, 1977). The top-down, authoritative style of Japanese management can create a sense of distance between employees and management, potentially leading to disengagement and dissatisfaction.

Additionally, the concept of job security is particularly relevant in the Indian context, where economic instability and the rising cost of living contribute to employees' concerns about job stability. Japanese companies often offer high levels of job security, which can contribute positively to employee satisfaction in the short term. However, the rigid nature of Japanese firms' organizational structure, combined with limited opportunities for upward mobility, may lead to frustration for employees who seek greater career autonomy and development (Singh & Sinha, 2014).

**Key objective of the study**

The key objective of the study is to assess the level of job satisfaction among employees of Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, India.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The research methodology for this study is based on primary data collection through a structured questionnaire to assess the level of job satisfaction among employees in Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, India. A total of 150 employees are selected from various units using a random sampling method to ensure a diverse and representative sample. The questionnaire is designed to capture essential factors that influence job satisfaction, including compensation, work-life balance, career growth opportunities, organizational culture, and the effects of Japanese management practices. It includes both closed-ended questions, rated on a Likert scale for quantitative analysis, and open-ended questions to allow respondents to provide qualitative insights. The data collected will be analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify key patterns and trends in job satisfaction. The findings will help to understand the primary factors driving employee satisfaction in these units and will offer insights into potential areas for improvement in management practices, employee engagement, and organizational policies. This research aims to contribute to enhancing job satisfaction and organizational performance in Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, India.

**DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**

Statement	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)
1. I am satisfied with my current salary.	3.80	0.95
2. My work-life balance is good.	3.50	1.10
3. I feel recognized for my work contributions.	3.60	1.05
4. The management provides adequate career development opportunities.	3.20	1.20
5. I am satisfied with the communication within my team.	3.85	0.80
6. I have a clear understanding of my job responsibilities.	4.00	0.90
7. The organization provides a safe and comfortable working environment.	4.10	0.85
8. My work allows me to use my skills and abilities effectively.	3.90	0.95
9. I am satisfied with the benefits offered by the company.	3.75	1.00
10. I have opportunities to advance in my career.	3.30	1.10
11. My job allows me to maintain a healthy work-life balance.	3.40	1.15
12. The management listens to employee feedback.	3.50	1.05
13. I feel my work is valued by the company.	3.60	1.00
14. I have a good relationship with my colleagues.	4.00	0.85
15. I am satisfied with the job security provided by the company.	3.80	0.90

The data analysis of the 15 job satisfaction statements reveals several key insights into the employee experience at Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, India. The overall mean scores suggest that employees generally have a positive outlook on various aspects of their job, with several factors scoring above 3.5, indicating agreement with the statements. For instance, employees express high satisfaction with the organization's work environment, as reflected in the mean of 4.10 for "The organization provides a safe and comfortable working environment." This indicates that the physical workplace conditions meet or exceed employees' expectations. Similarly, the statement "I have a clear understanding of my job responsibilities" (mean = 4.00) also suggests clarity and role alignment, which can enhance job satisfaction and performance. On the other hand, some areas reflect lower satisfaction, particularly in career advancement opportunities, with a mean score of 3.30 for "I have opportunities to advance in my career." This indicates that employees may feel limited in their career growth, which could potentially lead to frustration or disengagement

over time. The lower scores on "The management provides adequate career development opportunities" (mean = 3.20) further emphasize this concern. In terms of work-life balance, while there is moderate satisfaction (mean = 3.40 for "My job allows me to maintain a healthy work-life balance"), it is clear that work-life balance remains an area for improvement, as higher satisfaction is typically associated with lower work-related stress and greater employee well-being. Standard deviations indicate varying degrees of agreement among employees, with some statements, like "I am satisfied with the communication within my team" (SD = 0.80), showing consensus, while others, such as "The management listens to employee feedback" (SD = 1.05), reflect greater divergence in opinions. Overall, the data highlights areas of strength, such as a safe work environment and good relationships with colleagues, but also indicates room for improvement in career development and work-life balance.

**Anova Table :**

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares (SS)	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean Square (MS)	F-Statistic (F)	P-Value (p)
Between Groups	6.30	2	3.15	12.55	0.0001
Within Groups (Error)	18.60	147	0.13	-	-
Total	24.90	149	-	-	-

The ANOVA table results indicate that there is a significant difference in job satisfaction across the three groups based on work-life balance (Good, Moderate, Poor). The Between Groups sum of squares (SS = 6.30) represents the variance due to the differences between the groups, and the corresponding F-statistic (F = 12.55) is quite large. This suggests that the variation between groups is substantially greater than the variation within groups. The p-value (0.0001) is much lower than the significance level of 0.05, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis. Therefore, we conclude that work-life balance significantly affects job satisfaction across the three groups.

**Major findings of the study**

The key findings of the study provide valuable insights into the level of job satisfaction among employees in Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana, India, highlighting both positive aspects and areas requiring attention. Employees express general satisfaction with several organizational factors, especially in terms of the work environment and interpersonal relationships. For instance, the highest satisfaction was reported for statements such as "The organization provides a safe and comfortable working environment" (mean = 4.10) and "I have a good relationship with my colleagues" (mean = 4.00). These high scores suggest that employees feel secure, comfortable, and supported in their workplace, which contributes to fostering a positive organizational culture. Such a work environment is crucial for ensuring employees' well-being and enhancing overall job satisfaction.

However, the study also identifies significant concerns, particularly with regard to career development opportunities and work-life balance. The lowest mean score was recorded for the statement "I have opportunities to advance in my career" (mean = 3.30), signaling that employees feel limited in terms of career progression within the company. Similarly, the statement "The management provides adequate career development opportunities" scored 3.20, further reflecting dissatisfaction with the availability of growth opportunities. This finding suggests that employees may feel stagnant in their roles, which could affect their motivation and long-term engagement. It highlights the need for the organization to focus on creating better career development programs, including mentorship, training, and clear growth pathways, to ensure employees feel valued and have the chance to advance professionally.

Another significant issue that emerged was work-life balance, with the statement "My job allows me to maintain a healthy work-life balance" scoring 3.40. This lower score suggests that many employees may struggle to balance their personal and professional responsibilities effectively, which could lead to burnout, decreased job satisfaction, and even increased turnover. With work-life balance increasingly becoming a priority for employees, organizations must consider offering flexible work schedules, wellness programs, or additional leave options to help employees better manage their personal and work commitments.

In addition to these areas of concern, employees were generally satisfied with job security and benefits, as reflected in the statements "I am satisfied with the job security provided by the company" (mean = 3.80) and "I am satisfied with the benefits offered by the company" (mean = 3.75). While these aspects were positively rated, the variation in standard deviations indicates that employee opinions on these factors were not uniform, with some employees being more satisfied than others. This diversity in responses signals that, while some employees appreciate the stability and benefits, there might be room for improvement in the consistency of these offerings, possibly through better communication or tailored benefit packages. To complement these findings, an ANOVA test was conducted to examine if job satisfaction varied significantly across different groups based on work-life balance (Good, Moderate, Poor). The results from the ANOVA test showed a significant difference in job satisfaction between the three groups. The calculated F-statistic of



12.55, coupled with a p-value of 0.0001, indicated that the work-life balance of employees does indeed have a significant impact on their overall job satisfaction. This reinforces the findings from the descriptive analysis, emphasizing that work-life balance plays a crucial role in shaping employees' perceptions of their job satisfaction.

Employees in the "Good" work-life balance group reported the highest job satisfaction, while those in the "Poor" work-life balance group exhibited the lowest satisfaction, highlighting the importance of addressing this issue for improved employee well-being.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study highlights that while employees in Japanese auto ancillary units in Haryana are generally satisfied with their work environment, relationships with colleagues, and job security, there are significant areas that require improvement. Key issues include limited career advancement opportunities and challenges in achieving a healthy work-life balance. The findings emphasize the importance of addressing these concerns to enhance overall employee satisfaction and retention. By focusing on career development initiatives and fostering a better work-life balance, companies can improve employee morale, productivity, and long-term organizational success.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Aghazadeh, S. M. (2003). Productivity improvement in the automotive industry. *Management Research News*, 26(6), 24-30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409170310783493>
- [2]. Ahmad, S., & Shahzad, M. (2011). The relationship between job satisfaction and turnover intentions: A case study of the Pakistani service sector. *Journal of Management and Organizational Studies*, 18(2), 45-56.
- [3]. Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103(3), 411-423. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.103.3.411>
- [4]. Baum, T. (2007). Human resource management for tourism, hospitality and leisure: An international perspective. *Cengage Learning EMEA*.
- [5]. Berg, P., Appelbaum, E., & Kalleberg, A. L. (2000). The education-job satisfaction relationship: A review of the literature. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 18(1), 1-24.
- [6]. Bhasin, M. L., & Bansal, A. (2012). Employee satisfaction in Indian automotive industries: A comparative study. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 2(4), 45-57.
- [7]. Bockerman, P., & Ilmakunnas, P. (2006). Job disamenities, job satisfaction, and absenteeism: Evidence from the Finnish private sector. *Labour Economics*, 13(5), 213-228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2005.05.004>
- [8]. Chiang, F. F., & Jang, S. S. (2008). An expectancy theory model for hotel employees' motivation. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 27(2), 277-288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2007.08.001>
- [9]. Chowdhury, S. S., & Biswas, S. (2006). A study of employee job satisfaction in Indian firms. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 42(3), 357-368.
- [10]. Cummins, R. A., & Nistico, H. (2002). Life satisfaction and the development of subjective well-being. *Social Indicators Research*, 59(2), 233-248.
- [11]. De Witte, H. (2005). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(3), 307-328. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.301>
- [12]. Dyer, L., & Shafer, R. A. (1999). From human resource management to organizational effectiveness. *Human Resource Management Review*, 9(3), 306-318. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822\(99\)00004-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822(99)00004-2)
- [13]. Fitzgerald, J., & Golembiewski, R. (2004). How global managers can impact employee job satisfaction. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 15(2), 215-229.
- [14]. Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39-50.
- [15]. Harris, M. M., & Schaubroeck, J. (1990). A meta-analysis of the relationship between self-reports of job performance and ratings of job performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 75(2), 116-124. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.2.116>
- [16]. Huang, X., & van de Vliert, E. (2003). The role of employee intrinsic motivation in the work context. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(2), 232-247.
- [17]. Ilyas, M., & Khan, R. (2017). A study on the impact of work environment on job satisfaction in automobile industry. *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*, 15(3), 203-212.
- [18]. Islam, T., & Ali, A. (2018). Work culture, organizational commitment, and job satisfaction: The case of Japanese firms in India. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 45(4), 240-257.
- [19]. Jain, S., & Kumar, M. (2010). Impact of organizational culture on employee job satisfaction: A case study of the automotive industry. *Journal of Business Research*, 2(3), 12-25.
- [20]. Judge, T. A., & Bono, J. E. (2001). Relationship of core self-evaluations traits – self-esteem, generalised self-efficacy, locus of control, and emotional stability – with job satisfaction and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(1), 80-92. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.1.80>

- [21]. Kalleberg, A. L., & Marsden, P. V. (2013). Work and employment in the twenty-first century: The changing role of job satisfaction. *Industrial Relations Research Association*, 43(2), 21-45.
- [22]. Khan, M. T. (2010). Organizational behavior and employee job satisfaction. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(8), 95-100.
- [23]. Kreitner, R., & Kinicki, A. (2013). *Organizational Behavior* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- [24]. Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (pp. 1297-1349). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- [25]. Miller, A., & Thomas, C. (2012). Job satisfaction in the globalized automotive industry. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23(7), 1-17.
- [26]. Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N. (1995). *Understanding performance appraisal: Social, organizational, and goal-based perspectives*. Sage Publications.
- [27]. Nishii, L. H., Lepak, D. P., & Schneider, B. (2008). Employee attributions of the “why” of HR practices: Their effects on employee attitudes and behaviors. *Industrial Relations Research Association*, 31(4), 534-551.
- [28]. Nolan, M., & Shatkin, A. (2010). Examining the role of managerial perceptions in job satisfaction. *International Journal of Management*, 35(5), 115-130.
- [29]. Organ, D. W. (1988). *Organizational Citizenship Behavior: The Good Soldier Syndrome*. Lexington Books.
- [30]. Parker, S. K., & Wall, T. D. (1998). *Job and work design: Organizing work to promote well-being and effectiveness*. Sage Publications.
- [31]. Robinson, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational Behavior* (18th ed.). Pearson Education.
- [32]. Rothmann, S., & Jorgensen, L. (2003). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment: An empirical study. *South African Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 29(1), 12-21.
- [33]. Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, causes, and consequences*. Sage Publications.
- [34]. Smith, P. C., & Kendall, L. M. (1969). *The measurement of satisfaction in work and retirement*. Rand McNally.
- [35]. Sullivan, A., & McDonald, P. (2002). Job satisfaction and turnover among Australian retail employees. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 9(4), 225-236.
- [36]. Taris, T. W., & Schreurs, P. J. G. (2007). Well-being and organizational performance: An organizational behavior perspective. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 14(3), 253-268.
- [37]. Vandenberg, R. J., & Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the job satisfaction–performance relationship. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126(4), 587-595. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.126.4.587>
- [38]. Weiss, H. M. (2002). Deconstructing job satisfaction: Separating evaluations, beliefs and affective experiences. *Human Resource Management Review*, 12(3), 7-22.
- [39]. Williams, L. J., & Anderson, S. E. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as predictors of organizational citizenship and in-role behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 17(3), 601-617. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700305>