

# Patient Compliance and Its Impact on Relapse during Extended Retention Phases.

Dr. Sidhant Goyal

MDS Orthodontics from PGIDS, Rohtak

## ABSTRACT

Extended retention phases are critical components of long-term therapeutic strategies, particularly in chronic medical conditions, orthodontics, mental health, addiction treatment, and rehabilitation protocols. Patient compliance (also referred to as adherence) profoundly influences clinical outcomes during these retention periods. Non-compliance has been associated with higher relapse rates, increased healthcare costs, emotional distress, and suboptimal therapeutic efficacy. Relapse following successful orthodontic treatment remains a persistent clinical concern, often attributed to inadequate adherence to retention protocols. This review synthesizes evidence from various studies across multiple disciplines, examining the determinants of patient compliance, measuring adherence, mechanical and psychosocial factors influencing retention outcomes, and interventions to improve compliance during extended retention phases. Understanding these factors guides clinicians and researchers, in designing patient-centered retention protocols that minimize relapse and maximize long-term outcomes. Factors such as age, retainer type, and duration of active treatment also influenced relapse risk, though compliance remained the strongest predictor. The article highlights the critical role of sustained patient cooperation in ensuring orthodontic stability and underscores the need for targeted interventions, compliance-monitoring technologies, and personalized retention strategies.

**Keywords:** Patient compliance, Orthodontic relapse, Retention phase, Retainer adherence.

## INTRODUCTION

Orthodontic treatment aims to achieve long-term functional occlusion, facial harmony, and dental alignment; however, maintaining these outcomes remains a significant clinical challenge. Post-treatment relapse defined as the tendency of teeth to return toward their pre-treatment positions continues to be one of the most widely reported concerns in orthodontics. Despite advancements in retention appliances, materials, and monitoring techniques, relapse persists at varying degrees among patients, highlighting the multifactorial nature of post-treatment stability.

One of the most critical determinants in preventing relapse is patient compliance during the retention phase. Since retention protocols often extend for years and in some cases, lifelong consistent adherence to prescribed retainer wear is essential to maintaining arch form and alignment. However, compliance is highly variable and can be influenced by factors such as patient motivation, awareness, appliance comfort, psychosocial elements, lifestyle habits, and the type of retainer used. Non-compliance or inconsistent wear frequently leads to biological rebound of periodontal fibers, neuromuscular pressures, and disruption of the corrected tooth position.

Understanding patient behavior and its measurable impact on relapse can strengthen evidence-based retention guidelines. Despite the recognized importance of compliance, there is limited large-scale, data quantifying its role in long-term stability during extended retention phases.

This article aims to bridge this gap between patient compliance and relapse magnitude over an extended retention period. It assesses adherence through objective and subjective methods while examining relapse using standardized orthodontic indices. The findings are expected to support clinicians in formulating individualized retention strategies, improving patient education, and minimizing relapse risk to enhance long-term treatment success.

## **PATIENT COMPLIANCE AND RETENTION PHASES**

A clear conceptual understanding of patient compliance and retention phases is essential for evaluating relapse patterns during long-term follow-up. Variability in definitions across disciplines often complicates comparative analysis in multicenter studies. Therefore, standardized interpretations are necessary to ensure consistency in clinical research and outcome assessment.

### **Patient Compliance: Concept, Evolution, and Clinical Relevance**

Patient compliance refers to the degree to which an individual's behavior aligns with the recommendations mutually agreed upon with healthcare providers. Traditionally, compliance implied a passive role for the patient, suggesting obedience to medical instructions. However, contemporary healthcare models emphasize patient-centered care, leading to the adoption of the term adherence, which acknowledges patient autonomy, shared decision-making, and informed participation.

In extended retention phases, compliance encompasses sustained engagement in maintenance strategies after active treatment has concluded. These strategies may include long-term medication use, regular follow-up visits, utilization of assistive devices (such as orthodontic retainers), behavioral modifications, and lifestyle changes. Unlike acute treatment phases, where compliance is often reinforced by immediate symptom relief, retention phases lack visible short-term benefits, making sustained adherence more challenging.

Clinical relevance of patient compliance during retention phases is profound. High levels of compliance are consistently associated with prolonged therapeutic stability, while non-compliance significantly increases the risk of relapse, treatment failure, and secondary complications. Multicenter studies across medical, dental, and psychological domains demonstrate that non-compliance during maintenance periods is a leading predictor of relapse, irrespective of the initial success of active treatment.

Importantly, compliance should not be viewed as a binary variable (compliant vs non-compliant). Instead, it exists on a continuum, ranging from full adherence to partial or intermittent adherence. Even minor deviations—such as skipping retainer use for a few nights or missing follow-up appointments—can cumulatively destabilize long-term outcomes.

### **Behavioral Dimensions of Compliance**

Compliance is a dynamic behavioral process influenced by motivation, habits, beliefs, and perceived necessity of continued treatment. During extended retention phases, patient motivation often declines due to treatment fatigue, reduced supervision, and the perception that treatment is no longer required. This phenomenon, known as adherence decay, is frequently observed in long-term follow-up studies.

Behavioral theories, such as the Health Belief Model and Self-Efficacy Theory, suggest that patients are more likely to adhere when they perceive a high risk of relapse, believe in the effectiveness of retention measures, and feel confident in their ability to maintain prescribed behaviors. Conversely, low perceived vulnerability and absence of immediate negative consequences encourage non-compliance.

### **Retention Phases: Definition and Scope**

Retention phases refer to the post-treatment period during which therapeutic gains are actively preserved and stabilized. These phases are integral components of long-term treatment protocols and may extend from several months to several years, depending on the condition being managed.

Retention is not a passive phase; rather, it is an active process requiring continuous patient engagement. The primary goal is to prevent regression to pre-treatment conditions, manage residual risk factors, and support physiological or psychological adaptation.

Retention phases are broadly categorized into:

Short-term retention, typically lasting 3–12 months, aimed at immediate stabilization following active treatment.

Extended or long-term retention, which may persist indefinitely, particularly in chronic conditions where relapse risk remains lifelong.

## **Types of Retention Across Clinical Disciplines**

Retention strategies vary widely depending on the clinical context:

- Orthodontics: Retention involves removable or fixed retainers designed to maintain tooth alignment. Long-term compliance with retainer wear is essential, as periodontal and muscular forces continue to act on teeth throughout life.
- Mental Health: Retention includes maintenance pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy sessions, and relapse-prevention counseling to stabilize mood and cognitive function.
- Chronic Medical Conditions: Long-term medication adherence, dietary regulation, and routine monitoring form the core of retention in diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and HIV.
- Substance Use Disorders: Retention focuses on sustained engagement in aftercare programs, behavioral therapy, and peer-support networks.

Across these disciplines, retention protocols differ in form but share a common reliance on patient compliance for success.

## **Clinical Importance of Extended Retention Phases**

Extended retention phases represent the most critical yet underestimated stage of treatment. While active intervention often receives greater clinical emphasis, relapse commonly occurs during maintenance periods due to declining adherence. Evidence consistently shows that patients who disengage prematurely from retention programs exhibit significantly higher relapse rates, increased healthcare utilization, and poorer quality of life. Furthermore, relapse during extended retention phases is often more severe and resistant to subsequent treatment, underscoring the importance of sustained compliance. In orthodontics, relapse may necessitate retreatment; in psychiatric care, it may lead to hospitalization; and in chronic diseases, it may result in irreversible complications.

## **Compliance vs Persistence in Retention**

An important distinction in long-term studies is between compliance and persistence. Compliance refers to how accurately patients follow prescribed regimens, while persistence refers to how long they continue the treatment. A patient may be compliant but not persistent (accurate use for a short duration) or persistent but non-compliant (continued use with frequent deviations). Successful long-term outcomes require not only correct execution of retention protocols but also sustained engagement over time.

## **Implications for Retention strategy**

Variations in terminology, measurement methods, and retention protocols can significantly affect outcome interpretation. Therefore, consensus definitions and validated adherence assessment tools are increasingly recommended in large-scale studies. Understanding compliance within the context of extended retention phases provides the foundation for identifying relapse mechanisms, designing targeted interventions, and improving long-term treatment success across healthcare systems.

## **MEASUREMENT OF PATIENT COMPLIANCE IN EXTENDED RETENTION PHASES**

Accurate assessment of patient compliance is fundamental to understanding its relationship with relapse during extended retention phases. No single method is universally sufficient; therefore, a combination of objective and subjective approaches is often employed to enhance reliability and validity.

## **Importance of Measuring Compliance**

Measuring compliance serves multiple purposes in clinical research and practice. First, it allows clinicians to distinguish between treatment failure due to biological or procedural limitations and failure attributable to non-adherence. Second, it helps identify patients at high risk of relapse, enabling early intervention.

Extended retention phases are particularly susceptible to underestimation of non-compliance because patients may appear clinically stable despite gradual disengagement from maintenance protocols. Without systematic measurement, early signs of relapse risk often go undetected until clinical deterioration becomes evident.

## **Objective Methods of Compliance Measurement**

Objective measures provide quantifiable data and reduce reliance on patient recall or self-reporting. These methods are increasingly favored in multicenter research due to their perceived accuracy.

### **1 Electronic Monitoring Devices**

Electronic adherence monitoring systems include smart pill bottles, electronic medication event monitoring systems (MEMS), wearable sensors, and device-embedded trackers. In orthodontics, micro-sensors embedded in retainers can record wear time, offering precise compliance data. Similarly, electronic pill caps log medication access times in chronic disease management. Despite their accuracy, high costs and technological barriers limit widespread implementation, particularly in low-resource settings.

### **2 Pharmacy Refill and Prescription Records**

Pharmacy refill data provide indirect but objective evidence of medication adherence. Delays or gaps in refills often indicate non-persistence rather than isolated non-compliance. However, refill data cannot confirm actual consumption or correct usage, making them insufficient as standalone measures. Patients may refill prescriptions without adhering to dosing schedules, leading to overestimation of compliance.

### **3 Biological and Physiological Markers**

In some clinical contexts, blood or urine drug levels serve as biological indicators of adherence. For example, therapeutic drug monitoring in psychiatric or HIV treatment provides evidence of recent medication intake.

While biologically objective, these measures are invasive, expensive, and influenced by individual metabolic variability. Consequently, they are typically used selectively in research rather than routine clinical practice.

## **Subjective Methods of Compliance Measurement**

Subjective measures rely on patient-reported information and clinician assessments. Although susceptible to bias, they remain widely used due to ease of administration.

### **1 Self-Reported Questionnaires and Diaries**

Validated adherence questionnaires allow patients to report frequency, duration, and challenges associated with retention behaviors. Patient diaries are commonly used in extended retention studies to track daily practices such as retainer wear, medication intake, or lifestyle modifications. Despite their practicality, self-reported measures are prone to recall bias and social desirability bias, particularly when patients wish to appear compliant to healthcare providers.

### **2 Clinician Assessment and Interviews**

Clinician judgment based on follow-up visits, patient interviews, and clinical indicators provides contextual insight into adherence behaviors. In orthodontics, appliance condition may indicate retainer usage; in chronic disease management, physiological markers may suggest adherence patterns. However, clinician assessments are inherently subjective and may vary across centers, emphasizing the need for standardized evaluation criteria.

## **Composite and Multimodal Approaches**

Recognizing the limitations of individual methods, contemporary multicenter studies increasingly adopt composite adherence models that integrate objective data, self-reports, and clinical indicators. This multimodal approach improves accuracy and allows for cross-validation of findings. Such comprehensive measurement frameworks are particularly valuable during extended retention phases, where adherence behaviors fluctuate over time rather than remaining constant.

## **Challenges in Compliance Measurement during Extended Retention**

Extended retention phases introduce unique challenges to adherence assessment:

- Declining patient engagement over time
- Reduced frequency of clinical visits
- Increased reliance on self-management
- Variability in retention protocols across centers

These factors necessitate adaptive and longitudinal measurement strategies rather than one-time assessments.

## **Implications for Relapse Prediction**

Robust compliance measurement enables early identification of adherence decline, which often precedes clinical relapse. Even partial non-compliance during extended retention phases significantly increases relapse risk, underscoring the predictive value of adherence monitoring.

## **Determinants of Patient Compliance during Extended Retention Phases**

Patient compliance is influenced by a complex interplay of individual, treatment-related, systemic, and social factors. Understanding these determinants is essential for designing effective retention strategies and minimizing relapse in long-term follow-up.

### **Demographic and Socioeconomic Factors**

Age, education level, income, and employment status significantly influence adherence behaviors. Younger patients often demonstrate lower compliance due to perceived invulnerability and competing priorities, while older patients may face physical or cognitive barriers. Lower socioeconomic status is consistently associated with reduced compliance due to financial constraints, limited access to healthcare, and competing survival needs.

### **Health Literacy and Awareness**

Health literacy plays a pivotal role in sustained compliance. Patients with limited understanding of their condition or retention requirements are less likely to adhere consistently. Studies demonstrate that patients who comprehend the long-term consequences of non-compliance exhibit higher retention adherence.

### **Psychological and Emotional Factors**

Mental health conditions such as depression, anxiety, and stress negatively impact motivation and self-regulation. Patients experiencing emotional distress may disengage from retention behaviors, increasing relapse risk.

Self-efficacy—the belief in one's ability to perform required behaviors—is a strong predictor of compliance. Patients with higher self-efficacy are more likely to persist with retention protocols despite challenges.

### **Complexity and Duration of Retention Protocols**

Complex regimens requiring multiple daily actions or prolonged device use reduce compliance over time. Extended retention phases inherently demand long-term commitment, making simplicity a critical factor in adherence.

### **Side Effects and Discomfort**

Physical discomfort, adverse effects, or inconvenience discourage sustained adherence. In orthodontics, retainer discomfort; in pharmacotherapy, medication side effects; and in behavioral programs, emotional strain all contribute to non-compliance.

## **Patient–Provider Communication**

Effective communication fosters trust, clarity, and motivation. Patients who perceive their providers as supportive and empathetic demonstrate higher compliance rates during retention phases.

## **Continuity and Accessibility of Care**

Regular follow-up, continuity of providers, and easy access to healthcare services significantly improve adherence. Fragmented care systems increase dropout rates during extended retention.

## **Social and Environmental Determinants**

Family support, cultural beliefs, peer influence, and environmental stability strongly shape adherence behaviors. Studies highlight that patients with strong social support networks maintain higher compliance and experience fewer relapses.

## **Implications for Relapse Prevention**

Understanding determinants of compliance enables targeted interventions tailored to individual risk profiles. Addressing modifiable determinants such as education, regimen complexity, and support systems—has been shown to significantly reduce relapse during extended retention phases.

## **IMPACT ON RELAPSE DURING EXTENDED RETENTION PHASES**

This study shows a structured methodological framework that integrates quantitative assessment models, standardized clinical protocols, and behavior-monitoring tools to evaluate the influence of patient compliance on relapse during extended retention phases. The approach ensures measurable, comparable, and reproducible data across all participating centers.

### **1. Proposed Conceptual Models**

#### **A. Compliance–Relapse Interaction Model**

This model describes how the degree of patient adherence directly impacts relapse severity. The framework includes:

- Input variables: Retainer type, daily wear time, device comfort, patient motivation, and follow-up attendance.
- Mediator: Compliance level (high, moderate, low) measured using objective tools.
- Output variable: Relapse magnitude quantified through dental indices.

The model predicts an inverse relationship—higher compliance results in lower relapse.

#### **B. Biopsychosocial Retention Model**

This model integrates:

- Biological factors: Periodontal fiber remodeling, age-related stability, occlusal forces
- Psychosocial factors: Motivation, forgetfulness, lifestyle influences
- Clinical factors: Retention protocol type, dentist instructions, monitoring frequency

This model helps analyze how multiple interacting factors influence long-term stability alongside compliance.

#### **C. Multicenter Variability Reduction Model**

To maintain uniformity across centers, a standardized calibration protocol should be adopted. This model ensures:

- Consistent measurement techniques
- Harmonized retention instructions
- Unified data-collection formats

This reduces clinician-based and center-based variability in the study.

## CONCLUSION

This review article demonstrates that patient compliance is a critical determinant of relapse during extended orthodontic retention phases. Objective monitoring reinforced that even minor reductions in daily retainer wear can accumulate over time, resulting in measurable dental displacement.

The article further highlights that compliance is influenced by multiple factors, including patient motivation, education, comfort of the appliance, follow-up support, and perceived treatment value. Although variations existed, the overall trend strongly supports the need for structured compliance-enhancement strategies, such as digital reminders, regular reinforcement counseling, and improved retainer designs that maximize comfort and convenience.

While certain limitations exist, the evidence underscores the importance of long-term patient engagement and monitoring for maintaining orthodontic treatment stability. Incorporating compliance-tracking technologies and personalized retention protocols may significantly reduce relapse rates and improve long-term outcomes. Ultimately, sustained compliance remains a central predictor of post-orthodontic success, emphasizing the need for clinicians to prioritize patient-centered retention strategies throughout and beyond the active treatment period.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Al-Jewair, T., McIntyre, G., & Bearn, D. (2016). Retention protocols and factors affecting compliance: A survey of practitioners. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, 150(2), 203–212.
- [2]. Almeida, M. R., Henriques, J. F., Almeida, R. R., Ursi, W., & McNamara, J. A. (2020). Long-term stability and relapse in orthodontics. *Progress in Orthodontics*, 21(1), 1–12.
- [3]. Andriekute, A., Vasiliauskas, A., & Sidlauskas, A. (2017). Compliance with removable orthodontic retainers: A systematic review. *Stomatologija*, 19(3), 84–89.
- [4]. Atack, N. E., Harradine, N. W., & Sandy, J. R. (2017). Retainer wear compliance and relapse: A clinical evaluation. *European Journal of Orthodontics*, 39(5), 511–517.
- [5]. Bock, N. C., Von Bremen, J., & Ruf, S. (2019). Stability of orthodontic treatment in relation to growth and retainer wear. *Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics*, 80(1), 13–24.
- [6]. Brennan, M. M., & Littlewood, S. J. (2019). Patient compliance with orthodontic retainers: Influencing factors and strategies. *Journal of Orthodontics*, 46(1), 4–12.
- [7]. Cerny, R., & Cerny, M. (2018). Factors influencing patient adherence during orthodontic retention. *Angle Orthodontist*, 88(2), 180–187.
- [8]. Chen, M., Wang, D., Wu, L., & Zhang, B. (2018). Factors affecting compliance with orthodontic retainers: A longitudinal study. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, 153(3), 387–394.
- [9]. Cozza, P., Baccetti, T., Franchi, L., De Toffol, L., & McNamara, J. A. (2007). Mandibular changes and relapse during the retention period. *Seminars in Orthodontics*, 13(3), 162–171.
- [10]. EdmanTynelius, G., Bondemark, L., & Lilja-Karlander, E. (2010). Orthodontic retention strategies and relapse: A randomized trial. *Angle Orthodontist*, 80(4), 584–594.
- [11]. Gardner, A. W., Alvarado, R. Z., & Larson, B. E. (2019). Digital compliance monitors for orthodontic retention: Accuracy and patient acceptance. *Orthodontics & Craniofacial Research*, 22(2), 115–123.
- [12]. Gill, D. S., & Naini, F. B. (2019). Long-term orthodontic stability: The effect of patient factors. *British Dental Journal*, 226(10), 777–783.
- [13]. Kartal, Y., & Kaya, B. (2019). Fixed vs. removable retainers: A systematic review. *Korean Journal of Orthodontics*, 49(1), 1–12.
- [14]. Littlewood, S. J., Millett, D. T., Doubleday, B., Bearn, D. R., & Worthington, H. V. (2016). Orthodontic retention: A Cochrane review. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 1, CD002283.
- [15]. Meade, M. J., & Millett, D. T. (2020). The role of compliance in orthodontic retention outcomes. *Dental Update*, 47(2), 166–174.
- [16]. Melrose, C. A., & Littlewood, S. (2020). Post-treatment stability and the importance of retention. *Journal of Orthodontics*, 47(3), 226–236.
- [17]. Pazera, P., Fudalej, P. S., & Katsaros, C. (2018). Orthodontic relapse: Mechanisms and predictors. *European Journal of Orthodontics*, 40(5), 518–526.