International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering
ISSN: 2319-7463, Vol. 14 Issue 7, July-2025

A Comprehensive Study of Battery Thermal Management Strategies for Lithium-Ion Batteries

Parveen

M.Tech. Scholar, Mechanical Department, World College of Technology and Management, Gurugram

ABSTRACT

The rapid proliferation of lithium-ion batteries across automotive, aerospace, and stationary storage applications has intensified the demand for robust thermal management solutions to ensure battery safety, reliability, and longevity. Lithium-ion batteries exhibit high energy density but are thermally sensitive—subject to performance degradation, accelerated aging, and thermal runaway if operating conditions deviate from the safe temperature window. This paper presents a Comprehensive study of Battery Thermal Management Strategies (BTMS) with a focus on passive, active, and hybrid cooling approaches tailored for lithium-ion battery systems. Through an integrative methodology combining literature synthesis, analytical modeling, numerical simulation, and experimental validation, the research benchmarks multiple BTMS configurations including phase change materials (PCMs), heat pipes, air/liquid cooling, and composite hybrid systems. Special emphasis is placed on evaluating thermal uniformity (ΔT), peak cell temperature (T_max), parasitic energy consumption, and system scalability. Simulation models—including lumped parameter, finite element, and enthalpy-based PCM models—were developed and verified against empirical results obtained from prototype tests under varied discharge rates (1C to 3C). Hybrid architectures combining PCM, graphite sheets, and loop heat pipes consistently outperformed other configurations, achieving T_max below 40°C and ΔT under 2°C even under stress conditions, while maintaining minimal energy overhead. The paper concludes with strategic recommendations for future work, including the adoption of intelligent BTMS control, advanced thermal materials, and scalable modular design. This work aims to guide the development of next-generation, adaptive BTMS frameworks, reinforcing the foundation for safe, efficient, and sustainable lithium-ion battery deployment in emerging energy systems.

Keywords: **Battery Thermal Management System (BTMS), Lithium-ion Battery, Phase Change Material (PCM), Loop Heat Pipe (LHP), Graphite Thermal Spreaders, Hybrid Cooling, Thermal Uniformity (ΔT), Peak Cell Temperature (T_max), Thermal Simulation, Electric Vehicles (EVs).**

INTRODUCTION

The rapid electrification of transportation, aviation, and grid-scale storage has positioned lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) as the cornerstone of modern energy systems. With their high energy density, long cycle life, and efficiency, LIBs have become indispensable in electric vehicles (EVs), aerospace platforms, and renewable energy storage. However, these batteries are thermally sensitive, and their performance, safety, and longevity are heavily influenced by temperature variations. Inadequate thermal regulation can lead to uneven cell degradation, accelerated aging, and in extreme cases, thermal runaway—a condition that compromises safety and system integrity.

To mitigate these risks, robust Battery Thermal Management Systems (BTMS) are essential. The BTMS must maintain cell temperatures within an optimal range (typically 20°C to 45°C), while ensuring uniformity across all cells to prevent thermal gradients. Conventional approaches such as air and liquid cooling offer limited flexibility or pose integration challenges. Passive systems using Phase Change Materials (PCMs) can absorb transient heat loads effectively but often struggle with heat dissipation over sustained operation. Recent research has shown that hybrid systems—which combine passive and active methods like PCMs, heat pipes, and graphite thermal spreaders—offer a promising balance between efficiency, safety, and scalability.

This paper presents a comprehensive study of BTMS strategies with a focus on hybrid configurations. By integrating simulation (CFD, FEM, and enthalpy-based models) and experimental investigations, the study benchmarks different

BTMS setups under variable load profiles. Particular emphasis is placed on evaluating thermal performance metrics such as peak cell temperature (T_max), thermal uniformity (ΔT), and parasitic energy consumption, to guide future design and application in EV and energy storage technologies.

Objectives of the Study: This research aims to investigate and benchmark various Battery Thermal Management Strategies (BTMS) for lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles and energy storage applications. The specific objectives include:

- To review and classify state-of-the-art BTMS approaches, including air, liquid, PCM-based, and hybrid systems.
- To analyze heat generation behavior and thermal distribution in lithium-ion cells under different discharge conditions.
- To develop simulation models using lumped parameter, finite element (FEM), and enthalpy-based methods for predicting battery thermal performance.
- To experimentally validate the simulation outcomes using 18650 lithium-ion cells with various BTMS configurations.
- To evaluate and compare critical performance metrics such as maximum temperature (T_max), temperature uniformity (ΔT), parasitic energy consumption, and system complexity.
- To propose recommendations for future scalable BTMS designs, integrating intelligent control, advanced materials, and energy-efficient layouts.

LITERATURE SUMMARY

Wang et al. [2010] used FEM to couple heat generation with electrochemical kinetics in a prismatic cell. This allowed real-time prediction of hot spots and peak temperature under dynamic loads.

Amin. M [2018] The operational stability, safety, and performance of lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), particularly in high-demand environments such as electric vehicles (EVs), renewable energy storage systems, and aerospace applications, are strongly influenced by temperature regulation.As battery systems grow in complexity, ensuring a homogeneous and optimal thermal profile across all cells becomes increasingly challenging. These thermal inconsistencies not only impair energy efficiency but also escalate degradation processes, induce capacity fade, and heighten the risk of thermal runaway—a self-reinforcing failure cascade capable of catastrophic outcomes. He developed a hybrid BTMS combining heat pipes and beeswax PCM**.** The 'L'-shaped heat pipe conducted heat away from battery cells into PCM chambers, where it was absorbed during phase transition. Experimental results under varied heat loads (20W to 50W) demonstrated stable surface temperatures below 50°C.

Bernagozzi [2020] introduced a Loop Heat Pipe (LHP) based cooling system using Novec™ 649 as a working fluid. The experimental prototype demonstrated a reduction of maximum battery temperature by 3.6°C compared to traditional liquid plates, while ensuring a more uniform temperature distribution across the module. The system also featured lower parasitic power draw and high passive stability.

Wang, Q [2021] Liquid cooling offers superior thermal regulation due to the higher thermal conductivity and heat capacity of fluids such as water, glycol mixtures, and dielectric oils. Liquid-cooling systems are typically implemented using cold plates, serpentine tubes, or direct immersion designs. They can maintain battery temperatures below 40°C even under aggressive operational loads.

Mohammed [2021] Phase Change Materials absorb latent heat during their phase transition from solid to liquid, thereby serving as a thermal buffer without external energy input. PCMs can stabilize the battery pack temperature during high discharge periods by temporarily absorbing heat and delaying the temperature rise.

Bernagozzi [2020] Graphite sheets are increasingly used as thermal spreaders due to their high in-plane thermal conductivity (>300 W/m·K). He integrated graphite layers between battery cells in a prismatic module and found significant improvement in heat dissipation uniformity without increasing system mass or volume significantly. Lumped Parameter Models (LPMs) simplify the thermal representation of battery cells using equivalent thermal resistance and capacitance networks. He used an LPM to estimate temperature gradients in LHP-cooled modules, validating the results against experimental data with <3% deviation. Though computationally efficient, LPMs lack spatial resolution and cannot accurately model temperature non-uniformities in multi-cell modules.

Bozorg [2025] Air cooling represents the most rudimentary and cost-effective thermal management technique. It involves channeling ambient or forced air over battery cells to remove heat via convection. Its ease of implementation and low weight make it particularly attractive for applications with moderate thermal loads.

The literature reflects growing interest in hybrid BTMS due to their performance potential. However, comparative evaluations across simulation and empirical validation are still rare. This study aims to fill that gap by benchmarking multiple BTMS architectures using both simulation and prototype testing, with a special focus on hybrid designs.

Modeling and Simulation Approaches:
Simulation tools are critical for predicting BTMS performance and optimizing designs.

- **Lumped Parameter Models (LPMs)** provide quick estimations of temperature rise but lack spatial resolution.
- **Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)** offer detailed thermal mapping to optimize fin geometry in air-cooled systems.
- **Electro-thermal models** couple heat and charge dynamics, enabling more realistic predictions of temperature evolution and battery aging.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts an integrative approach combining numerical simulation, thermal modeling, and empirical testing to evaluate the performance of various Battery Thermal Management Strategies (BTMS) for lithium-ion batteries. The methodology encompasses two primary stages: (1) simulation-based thermal modeling using finite element and enthalpy techniques, and (2) experimental validation under controlled charge/discharge profiles.

Simulation and Modeling Approach
To predict the thermal response of battery modules under different BTMS configurations, a series of computational models were developed and validated:

Lumped Parameter Modeling (LPM)
A thermal equivalent circuit was formulated using resistive and capacitive elements to represent the heat generation and dissipation within the cell. This model provided a **fast estimation** of surface temperature under varying C-rates and was useful for early-stage validation.

Finite Element Method (FEM)
A 3D FEM model was built to simulate heat conduction within layered battery cells, incorporating anisotropic thermal properties. The model included boundary conditions such as convective heat transfer coefficients and surface emissivity. It was applied to passive (PCM), active (air/liquid), and hybrid systems to visualize spatial temperature gradients.

Enthalpy-Based PCM Modeling
For configurations involving phase change materials, an enthalpy method was employed to capture the latent heat effect during melting and solidification. Dual-PCMs were simulated to evaluate extended buffering under prolonged high-load scenarios.

Experimental Setup and Procedure: Empirical testing was conducted to validate the simulation outcomes and assess real-world BTMS performance.

Battery pack configuration:

- Commercial 18650 lithium-ion cells (3.7V, 2500 mAh, NMC chemistry) were used.
- Multiple BTMS setups were tested:
    - Air Cooling with Fins
    - PCM (Paraffin and Beeswax)
    - PCM + Heat Pipe
    - Graphite + PCM + Natural Convection
    - Hybrid PCM + Loop Heat Pipe + Graphite Spreaders

Test Environment:

- Environmental chamber: temperature-controlled (20°C to 45°C)
- Discharge rates: 1C, 2C, and 3C
- Thermal sensors: Type-K thermocouples on cell surface, PCM interface, and ambient
- FLIR thermal imaging for surface mapping
- Data Acquisition System (NI-DAQ) interfaced via LabVIEW

Key Parameters Measured:

- Peak cell temperature (T_{max})
- Temperature uniformity ($\Delta T = T_{max} – T_{min}$)
- Time to peak temperature (t_{peak})
- Parasitic energy consumption (airflow/fan power)

Each configuration was tested thrice for consistency, and cells were fully charged before each discharge cycle.

Validation Strategy:
Simulated results were compared against experimental data. Temperature curves, ΔT values, and response times were analyzed for deviation. Errors were found to be within ±3% for most configurations, ensuring high model fidelity and real-world applicability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the findings from both simulation and experimental investigations of five distinct Battery Thermal Management Systems (BTMS) applied to 18650 lithium-ion cells. The performance of passive, active, and hybrid cooling strategies was evaluated based on peak temperature (T_{max}), thermal uniformity (ΔT), and energy efficiency under varying discharge rates (1C to 3C).

Baseline System (No Cooling): The uncooled battery pack served as the control group. At 2C discharge, T_{max} reached 58.2°C with a ΔT of 8.5°C. Surface thermography revealed significant thermal gradients, and capacity degradation was observed after repeated cycling—highlighting the unsuitability of passive-free configurations under dynamic loads.

Air Cooling with Fins:
Forced convection via aluminum fins reduced T_{max} by ~9°C compared to baseline, reaching 49.3°C at 2C. However, ΔT remained high (~5.2°C), indicating uneven temperature distribution. Fan operation introduced a 2.4 W parasitic energy load, making this method only moderately efficient.

PCM-Based Passive Cooling
Two PCMs were tested—Paraffin Wax and Beeswax.

- Paraffin reduced T_{max} to 47.5°C (ΔT = 4.7°C), but exhibited slower phase change behavior.
- Beeswax performed better, lowering T_{max} to 45.8°C and ΔT to 3.9°C due to a narrower melting range.

Both offered energy-free operation, making them ideal for moderate duty cycles. However, saturation occurred after ~20 minutes under 3C load.

PCM + Heat Pipe Hybrid System:
Integrating a copper heat pipe with PCM significantly improved heat removal.

- T_{max} was reduced to 41.2°C
- ΔT dropped to 2.3°C

The system leveraged latent heat absorption and passive conduction to rapidly redistribute heat. It showed superior performance at minimal energy cost and required no active components.

PCM + Graphite + Loop Heat Pipe (LHP)
The most advanced configuration, combining PCM, high-conductivity graphite spreaders, and LHP, achieved the best results:

- T_{max} = **36.1°C**
- ΔT = **1.1°C**
- No active energy draw

The LHP facilitated directional heat transfer while graphite ensured lateral thermal equalization. This system consistently performed well across all discharge rates with negligible deviation from simulation data (<3%)

Validation with Simulation

Table 1: Comparison of Simulated vs. Experimental Results

Configuration	Simulated T_max (°C)	Experimental T_max (°C)	Error (%)
PCM-only (Paraffin)	48.1	47.5	1.25
PCM + Heat Pipe	40.6	41.2	1.47
Hybrid PCM + LHP + Graphite	35.3	36.1	2.27

The close agreement between simulation and empirical values validates the accuracy of the modeling frameworks used (LPM, FEM, enthalpy-based models).

Comparative Performance Summary

Table 2: Comparative BTMS Performance Summary

BTMS Type	T_max (°C)	ΔT (°C)	Energy Use	Complexity	Suitability
No Cooling (Baseline)	58.2	8.5	None	Low	Unsafe
Air Cooling + Fins	49.3	5.2	Moderate	Low	Entry-level EVs
PCM-only (Beeswax)	45.8	3.9	None	Medium	Grid Storage
PCM + Heat Pipe	41.2	2.3	Minimal	Medium	Mid-tier EVs
PCM + LHP + Graphite	36.1	1.1	None	High	High-performance EVs

Key Insights

- Hybrid BTMS consistently outperformed passive and active-only systems in both thermal suppression and spatial uniformity.
- Passive systems are suitable for moderate-load or static environments (e.g., grid storage), but saturate under continuous high-load conditions.
- Graphite-enhanced architectures significantly improved thermal spreading without adding bulk or energy cost.
- Heat pipe and loop heat pipe integrations proved essential in removing latent heat from PCM systems.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated and benchmarked multiple Battery Thermal Management Strategies (BTMS) for lithium-ion batteries using both numerical modeling and empirical validation. The thermal performance of passive, active, and hybrid systems was evaluated under various discharge rates, with a focus on peak cell temperature (T_max), thermal uniformity (ΔT), and energy efficiency.

Key findings include:

- Passive PCM systems (e.g., beeswax) provided effective short-term thermal buffering with zero energy input, though performance declined under continuous high-load conditions.
- Active air-cooling reduced surface temperatures moderately but suffered from poor uniformity and energy overhead.
- Hybrid configurations—particularly PCM combined with heat pipes and graphite thermal spreaders—achieved the best overall performance, maintaining $T\_max < 40°C$ and $\Delta T < 2°C$ even under 3C loads.
- The PCM + LHP + graphite configuration demonstrated the most consistent and scalable results, with strong agreement between simulation and experimental outcomes (error < 3%).

These outcomes confirm that hybrid BTMS architectures offer a compelling balance of thermal safety, efficiency, and scalability. They are well-suited for high-performance applications such as electric vehicles and aerospace systems, where reliability and thermal regulation are critical.

In conclusion, thermal management is not merely a supporting function—it is a foundational enabler of safe, efficient, and sustainable battery systems. The results from this study underscore the potential of hybrid BTMS frameworks as the future standard in high-performance lithium-ion battery applications.

REFERENCES

[1] Amin, M., Ariantara, B., Putra, N., Sandi, A. F., & Abdullah, N. A. (2018). *Thermal management of electric vehicle batteries using heat pipe and phase change materials*. E3S Web of Conferences, 67, 03034. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20186703034

[2] Bozorg, M. V., & Torres, J. F. (2024). *Multifaceted thermal regulation in electrochemical batteries using cooling channels and foam-embedded phase change materials*. arXiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.15040

[3] Hong, H., Zhu, Y., D'Apolito, L., & Shen, S. (2025). *Evaluation of lithium battery cycle aging based on temperature increase during charging*. Batteries, 11(4), 150. https://doi.org/10.3390/batteries11040150.

[4] Banerjee, Dipak Kumar, Ashok Kumar, and Kuldeep Sharma."Artificial Intelligence on Supply Chain for Steel

[5] Demand." International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technologies and Innovations 1.04 (2023): 441-449.

[6] Kisomi, M. K. (2021). *Thermal management of lithium-ion batteries: A comparative study of phase change materials and air-cooling systems equipped with fins*. arXiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.10244

[7] Liu, C., Xu, D., Weng, J., Zhou, S., Li, W., Wan, Y., Jiang, S., Zhou, D., Wang, X., & Huang, F. (2020). *Phase change materials application in battery thermal management systems: A review*. Materials, 13(20), 4622. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13204622.

[8] Kandlakunta, Avinash Reddy and Simuni, Govindaiah, Cloud-Based Blockchain Technology for Data Storage and Security (December 02, 2024). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=5053342 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5053342

[9] Mohammed, A. G., Elfeky, K. E., & Wang, Q. (2021). *Recent advancement and enhanced battery performance using phase change materials-based hybrid battery thermal management for electric vehicles*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 154, 111759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111759

[10] Saraireh, M. (2023). *Thermal management in electric vehicles: Modeling and prospects*. International Journal of Heat and Technology, 41(1), 111–118. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijht.410111.

[11] Banerjee, Dipak Kumar, Ashok Kumar, and Kuldeep Sharma."Artificial Intelligence on Supply Chain for Steel

[12] Demand." International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technologies and Innovations 1.04 (2023): 441-449.

[13] Bernagozzi, M. (2022). *Development and characterization of an innovative battery thermal management system for electric vehicles with loop heat pipes and graphite sheets*. University of Brighton.

[14] Wang, Q., Jiang, B., Li, B., & Yan, Y. (2021). *A critical review of thermal management models and solutions of lithium-ion batteries for the development of pure electric vehicles*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 65, 106–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.05.033

[15] Wang, Q. (2015). *Analyzing and evaluating a thermal management solution via heat pipes for lithium-ion batteries in electric vehicles*. University of Nottingham Thesis.

[16] Banerjee, Dipak Kumar, Ashok Kumar, and Kuldeep Sharma. Machine learning in the petroleum and gas exploration phase current and future trends. (2022). International Journal of Business Management and Visuals, ISSN: 3006-2705, 5(2), 37-40. https://ijbmv.com/index.php/home/article/view/104