

# Recent Transformation in Education and Research

Prof. Dr. Aruna Anchal<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Sushila Saini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dean & Head, Dept. Of Education, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak Haryana, 124001

<sup>2</sup>Principal, DCS College of Education, Gohana, Haryana

---

## ABSTRACT

The world is becoming more digital, and we are using advanced technology in education, health, management and research. With transforming education, we are getting excellent learning experiences which is helping us to stay ahead of the curve. However, the use of technology is not limited. The penetration of technology is not only in our homes, educational institutions but also in research, management and health. In the field of education in addition to the constant production of new students it is also important to take into account the progression of the field. The progression of a field is the result of constant enquiry and research in the same. Education is considered as one of the most basic and crucial fields for the development of human capital which also is considered as a basic raw material for the development of a nation and humanity at macro level. The constant attempt to the development of the same after a major stop of pandemic lead to various transformations in it. The aim of the study is to capture the trends of transformation both in the field of education as well as in the field of research.

**Keywords: Education, Research, Progression, transformation, Digitalisation**

---

## INTRODUCTION

Digitalization in education refers to modernising systems and materials to enhance the quality of instruction and learning for all parties. Accessibility is a key component of the digital transformation of education. Compared to conventional learning, digital technologies make it quicker and cheaper for learners (such as students and employees) to utilise learning resources. Through the internet, people from various walks of life and socioeconomic backgrounds can access classes and resources. Students with disabilities no longer face difficulties thanks to technologies like text-to-speech (Hashim, 2018).

Higher engagement Interactive learning: A variety of learning modalities, including microlessons, films, interactive exams, games, etc., are revolutionising education. For instance, interactive language learning apps which aim to reach more students than the educational system who are interested in learning foreign languages. Adaptive learning, which allows each learner to pursue their own learning path, is made possible by computer technology and artificial intelligence (AI). Some of COVID-19's most significant repercussions, which highlight the necessity and urgency of integrating digital technologies into education, include school closings and distant learning. The education sector was already undergoing a digital revolution before the outbreak (Tarman, & Chigisheva, 2017).

In recent years, there has been an increase in demand for transformation research that supports changes in the direction of sustainability while addressing the growing global social and environmental concerns. The necessity for consciousness with respect to empirical and conceptual notions, foci, and frameworks is currently present as a result of the rapid development of transformation research in relation to diverse socioeconomic contexts and established research strands. Particularly the expansive goals of transformational research, namely to actively contribute to social change processes toward sustainability, are hotly contested and call for a critical assessment on research methodologies (Bogdandy, et. al, 2020).

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Applications using artificial intelligence can complete simple but time-consuming activities in the field of education, reducing the strain of teachers or other staff members. They can also be utilised to give pupils a better, more personalised learning experience (Ullah, et. al,2019).

Among the applications are: Voice-to-text technologies Students with deaf and hard of hearing benefit from making lectures into notes. Text-to-voice technology enables dyslexic kids to learn more successfully by writing instead of reading. In order to determine a student's preferred method of learning and adjust the curriculum accordingly, personalized learning may employ a variety of technologies, including AI. Examples of teaching strategies that integrate in-person instruction with online learning resources and promote students' discovery learning include blended learning and adaptive learning (García-Morales, et. al, 2021). Increasing staff productivity: Chatbots with artificial intelligence to answer inquiries regarding classes, homework, the campus, etc. College students can use chatbots as virtual advisors, freeing up instructors' time (Auer, et. al, 2018).

Chatbots for particular domains: The application process for college is challenging and stressful for high schoolers. College counselors only have so much time to help so many students. Chatbots designed specifically for the admissions process can help students during this difficult and crucial procedure. Back-office operations like finance are also present in educational businesses. The Back-office function inefficiencies can be found with the aid of process mining. To understand more about the uses of information extraction in education, read our article on educational process mining. Hyper-automation, often known as the combination of numerous automation technologies, can assist reduce the amount of time it takes to complete tasks (Abad-Segura, et. al, 2020).

Analytics: Thanks to digital technologies, schools can gather and analyse a variety of data on their kids' performance to track and improve it. They can identify areas where students struggle and succeed, create new approaches, and verify whether these ways produce the desired results using standard and advanced analytics (Ismoilovich, 2021).

Students can be better engaged in the subject by using augmented reality and virtual reality (AR/VR) technologies to build interactive and virtual settings. These technologies can support learning-by-doing in the practical sciences and in health, as well as digital field trips to heritage places. With AR/VR technology, the experience of remote learning can also be enhanced. Internet of Things (IoT): By facilitating actual dialogue and data transfer, the growing use of mobile phones and other edge devices promotes connectivity between pupils and their educational institutions. The presence or absence of young children from class may be tracked using IoT devices, which can also notify teachers and parents to ensure their safety (Mikheev, et. al, 2021).

Online education: As a pandemic emergency response, schools and universities offered distance learning via Zoom or Skype. Institutions of higher learning can create their own online course management systems and include them onto their websites or online platforms. This will enable them to tailor the web - based learning experience to the demands of students or the course's subject. Smart classes: Information devices have enhanced in-person instruction as well. Smart classrooms with smart boards, laptops, internet access, projectors, etc. open up new possibilities for providing students with educational tools that were previously impractical with a chalkboard and tries to cover. the procedures for achieving digital and AI transformation. All industries follow a similar set of procedures. This entail identifying your company's difficulties and acquiring or creating solutions to address them. Working with companies who have experience in this area might be beneficial when developing bespoke solutions (Sandkuhl, & Lehmann, 2017).

To combat the fragmentation of present research, such interdisciplinary study enables comparing and possibly merging many frameworks and concepts. Working with various societal actors in interprofessional engagements ensures knowledge insights that combine problem perceptions, solutions, norms, and values in addition to building on scientific and social knowledge. By working together, the issue and its solution become more legitimate, accountable for, and identifiable. It also fits with the notion of a sustainability transition as a process of social exploration and discovery in which various players play various significant and complementary roles. And the need for progression research methods to be able to adapt, inter paradigmatic, and abductive because the research object itself (i.e., sustainability transformations) is a normative, complex, and subjective concept and because it is acknowledged that knowledge is ambiguous and provisional. By taking these as a preliminary step instead of one that needs to be handled, transformational research should be able to adapt to shifting and changing problem framings and study situations (Desjardins, 2015).

When examining and addressing urgent societal issues, transformation research openly links them to fundamental change. Examples of this include issues with the provision of renewable energy or the maintenance of a sustainable social fabric in the face of migration and demographic change. Transition research, resilience research, and sustainability research all exhibit this explicit (normative) perspective. Second, the socioeconomic issues at hand continue to exist, necessitating the involvement of both several disciplines and societal actors. The engagement of ever more than one field as well as interdisciplinary research collaboration are essential for a better comprehension of transitions and potential solutions because societal challenges hardly ever challenge departmental boundaries. This call has been answered by a variety of research strands, all of which see their goals as being dual: on the one hand, it deals with transformations as a research object, analysing, describing, and explaining history modifications and current change complexities to better our knowledge of them as an understanding base to support metamorphosis towards sustainability. However, it involves actively promoting these sustainability reforms. In light of the second objective, several research strands also contain a "transformative" or "transformational" research approach in addition to descriptive modus (Jackson, 2019).

Research activities result in particular knowledge outcomes that help us achieve these goals. Regarding the kinds of knowledge that should be developed, there are various suggestions. Systemic, reflexive, and anticipatory knowledge must be produced by transformation research. These are similar to how transdisciplinary research distinguishes between system, goal, and transformation knowledge. These distinctions are focused along various knowledge requirements and content-related components in regard to a sustainability development. The action research approach gave rise to a novel manner of organising information. Action research is a method of addressing societal issues by producing social and scientific expertise as well as transformational action through collaboration with societal actors (Klerkx, 2020).

To attain these goals, research activities produce certain knowledge results. There are different suggestions with regards to the kinds of knowledge that should be created. The WBGU (2011: 341) suggests that transformation research needs to create systemic, reflexive and anticipatory knowledge.

These resemble the distinction between system, target and transformation knowledge of transdisciplinary research (see Pohl et al. 2008). These distinctions are oriented along different content-related components and knowledge needs in relation to a sustainability transformation. A different way to structure knowledge gains origins from the action research tradition, which aims to address societal problems by creating scientific and social knowledge as well as transformative action through collaboration with societal actors (e.g. Greenwood and Levin 2007). This distinction thus focuses on the in-tended use of a specific kind of knowledge. With our focus on the description and analysis of methods in relation to their contribution to the goals of transformation research, we are mainly interested in outlining methods, which contribute to the goal of describing, analysing, explaining, evaluating and supporting sustainability transformations. We therefore build on the distinction brought forth in the action research tradition and differentiate between conceptual and actionable knowledge.

To attain these goals, research activities produce certain knowledge results. There are different suggestions with regards to the kinds of knowledge that should be created. The WBGU (2011: 341) suggests that transformation research needs to create systemic, reflexive and anticipatory knowledge.

These resemble the distinction between system, target and transformation knowledge of transdisciplinary research (see Pohl et al. 2008). These distinctions are oriented along different content-related components and knowledge needs in relation to a sustainability transformation. A different way to structure knowledge gains origins from the action research tradition, which aims to address societal problems by creating scientific and social knowledge as well as transformative action through collaboration with societal actors (e.g. Greenwood and Levin 2007). This distinction thus focuses on the intended use of a specific kind of knowledge. With our focus on the description and analysis of methods in relation to their contribution to the goals of transformation research, we are mainly interested in outlining methods, which contribute to the goal of describing, analysing, explaining, evaluating and supporting sustainability transformations. We therefore build on the distinction brought forth in the action research tradition and differentiate between conceptual and actionable knowledge.

## **CONCLUSION**

The swift development of transformation in research and education fuelled by a pandemic over the past years warrants a fundamental reflection on its goals, contents, research approaches and methods. Transformation in both education and research brings together a diversity of research strands, theoretical concepts and frameworks and opens up space for

transdisciplinary research methods. It also is adding up value to the progression of education making it more reachable and accessible (Ajisafe, et. al, 2015).

The students enjoyed digital education and half of them are willing to continue it in the future. Students would prefer to use their own devices during tutorials which allow some changes in the labor environments. Unfortunately, some students had technical issues which may be caused by the heterogeneous software environment and can be solved with support material. Therefore, the successful utilization of digital education can be achieved in the near future (Wilms, K et. al, 2017).

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Hashim, H. (2018). Application of technology in the digital era education. *International Journal of Research in Counseling and Education*, 2(1), 1-5.
- [2]. Tarman, B., & Chigisheva, O. (2017). Editorial for special issue: Transformation of educational policy, theory and practice in post-soviet social studies education. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 8(2).
- [3]. Bogdandy, B., Tamas, J., & Toth, Z. (2020, September). Digital transformation in education during COVID-19: A case study. In 2020 11th IEEE international conference on cognitive info communications (CoginfoCom) (pp. 000173-000178). IEEE.
- [4]. García-Morales, V. J., Garrido-Moreno, A., & Martín-Rojas, R. (2021). The transformation of higher education after the COVID disruption: Emerging challenges in an online learning scenario. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 616059.
- [5]. Abad-Segura, E., González-Zamar, M. D., Infante-Moro, J. C., & Ruipérez García, G. (2020). Sustainable management of digital transformation in higher education: Global research trends. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 2107.
- [6]. Ismoilovich, I. M. (2021, July). ISSUES OF TRANSFORMATION OF EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE OF DEVELOPED COUNTRIES. In *Euro-Asia Conferences* (pp. 227-239).
- [7]. Klerkx, L. (2020). Advisory services and transformation, plurality and disruption of agriculture and food systems: towards a new research agenda for agricultural education and extension studies. *The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, 26(2), 131-140.
- [8]. Mikheev, A., Serkina, Y., & Vasyaev, A. (2021). Current trends in the digital transformation of higher education institutions in Russia. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(4), 4537-4551.
- [9]. Sandkuhl, K., & Lehmann, H. (2017). Digital transformation in higher education—The role of enterprise architectures and portals.
- [10]. Jackson, N. C. (2019). Managing for competency with innovation change in higher education: Examining the pitfalls and pivots of digital transformation. *Business Horizons*, 62(6), 761-772.
- [11]. Desjardins, R. (2015). Education and social transformation. *European Journal of Education*, 50(3), 239-244.
- [12]. Ajisafe, O. E., Bolarinwa, K. O., & Tuke, E. (2015). Issues in Business Education Programme: Challenges to National Transformation. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(21), 208-212.
- [13]. Wilms, K. L., Meske, C., Stieglitz, S., Decker, H., Fröhlich, L., Jendrosch, N., ... & Rudolph, D. (2017). Digital transformation in Higher Education—new cohorts, new requirements?.
- [14]. Auer, M. E., & Tsiatsos, T. (2018). The challenges of the digital transformation in education. In *Conference proceedings ICL* (p. 121).
- [15]. Ullah, H., Liu, G., Yousaf, B., Ali, M. U., Irshad, S., Abbas, Q., & Ahmad, R. (2019). A comprehensive review on environmental transformation of selenium: recent advances and research perspectives. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 41(2), 1003-1035.