International Journal of Enhanced Research in Educational Development (IJERED)
ISSN: 2320-8708, Vol. 14 Issue 3, May-June, 2026

Ai and Governance: Smart Decision-Making in Public Policy

Shivani Thusoo

Lecturer

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming governance by enabling smarter, data-driven decision-making in public policy. Governments across the world are increasingly adopting AI technologies to improve administrative efficiency, optimize resource allocation, enhance public service delivery, and strengthen transparency and accountability. AI-powered systems can analyze large volumes of data in real time, helping policymakers identify social trends, predict outcomes, and design evidence-based policies. Applications such as predictive analytics, intelligent automation, digital governance platforms, and AI-assisted citizen services are reshaping sectors including healthcare, education, transportation, public safety, and urban planning.

Despite its advantages, the integration of AI into governance also presents significant ethical, legal, and social challenges. Concerns related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, cybersecurity, transparency, and accountability require strong regulatory frameworks and responsible AI practices. Policymakers must ensure that AI systems operate fairly, inclusively, and in alignment with democratic values and human rights. Furthermore, capacity building, digital literacy, and collaboration between governments, technology experts, and civil society are essential for effective AI governance.

This study explores the role of AI in enhancing public policy decision-making and examines both the opportunities and challenges associated with its implementation in governance systems. It highlights how AI can contribute to smarter governance while emphasizing the need for ethical regulations and human-centered approaches. The paper concludes that balanced integration of AI can significantly improve policy effectiveness, responsiveness, and sustainable development outcomes in modern governance frameworks.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Smart Governance, Public Policy, Data-Driven Decision Making, Ethical AI

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies of the twenty-first century, significantly influencing governance, administration, and public policy processes across the world. Governments are increasingly adopting AI-driven systems to improve decision-making, optimize public service delivery, enhance administrative efficiency, and support evidence-based policymaking (Russell & Norvig, 2021). The rapid growth of digital technologies, big data analytics, cloud computing, and machine learning has accelerated the integration of AI into governance frameworks, enabling governments to process vast amounts of information quickly and accurately. AI-powered governance systems are now being used in areas such as healthcare management, smart cities, transportation planning, taxation, law enforcement, education, environmental monitoring, and welfare distribution (OECD, 2019). These developments indicate a paradigm shift from traditional governance models toward data-driven and technology-enabled governance systems.

AI refers to the ability of machines and computer systems to simulate human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and decision-making. Through technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, predictive analytics, and robotics, AI can analyze complex datasets and generate insights that assist policymakers in making informed decisions (Russell & Norvig, 2021). In the context of governance, AI can support governments in forecasting economic trends, identifying policy gaps, predicting social issues, and managing public resources more effectively. The

integration of AI into public administration has therefore become an essential component of modern governance strategies (Buiten, 2019).

The concept of smart governance is closely associated with the use of digital technologies and AI in public administration. Smart governance involves the application of information and communication technologies (ICTs) to enhance transparency, accountability, citizen participation, and administrative effectiveness (UNESCO, 2021). AI contributes to smart governance by enabling real-time data analysis and facilitating faster policy responses. Governments can use AI systems to detect fraudulent activities, improve tax collection, manage traffic congestion, monitor environmental conditions, and provide personalized citizen services. For example, AI-powered chatbots are increasingly being used by government agencies to address citizen grievances and provide instant information regarding public services (European Commission, 2021). Such innovations improve accessibility and responsiveness in governance systems.

One of the major advantages of AI in governance is its ability to support evidence-based policymaking. Traditional policymaking processes often rely on historical data, expert opinions, and lengthy administrative procedures. AI, however, can analyze real-time data from multiple sources and identify patterns that may not be visible through conventional methods (Schneider et al., 2020). This capability enables policymakers to make more accurate and timely decisions. For instance, predictive analytics can help governments forecast disease outbreaks, unemployment trends, or disaster risks, allowing authorities to take preventive measures before problems escalate. AI systems can also simulate policy outcomes, enabling governments to evaluate the effectiveness of policies before implementation. As a result, AI has the potential to improve policy efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance public welfare (Gill et al., 2022).

In recent years, governments across the globe have recognized the strategic importance of AI in governance and national development. Countries such as the United States, China, India, the United Kingdom, and members of the European Union have introduced national AI strategies aimed at promoting innovation and responsible AI adoption. India's National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence, introduced by NITI Aayog in 2018, emphasizes the role of AI in transforming sectors such as healthcare, agriculture, education, smart mobility, and smart cities (NITI Aayog, 2018). Similarly, the European Union has developed regulatory frameworks to ensure ethical and trustworthy AI systems (European Commission, 2021). These initiatives demonstrate that AI is no longer limited to the private sector but has become a key component of governance and public administration.

Despite its transformative potential, the use of AI in governance also raises significant ethical, legal, and social concerns. One of the major challenges associated with AI is algorithmic bias. AI systems are trained on datasets, and if these datasets contain biases or discriminatory patterns, the resulting decisions may reinforce inequality and social injustice (Leslie et al., 2024). For example, biased algorithms in recruitment, policing, or welfare distribution can lead to unfair treatment of certain groups. O'Neil (2016) argues that opaque algorithms can perpetuate discrimination while appearing objective and scientific. Therefore, ensuring fairness and inclusiveness in AI systems has become a major concern for policymakers and governance institutions.

Another critical issue related to AI governance is data privacy and security. AI systems rely heavily on large volumes of personal and institutional data to function effectively. Governments often collect sensitive citizen information related to healthcare, finance, education, and social welfare programs. Without proper safeguards, such data may be vulnerable to misuse, unauthorized access, or cyberattacks (UNESCO, 2021). Concerns regarding surveillance and the misuse of personal information have intensified with the increasing use of facial recognition systems and biometric technologies by governments. Consequently, there is a growing demand for robust data protection laws and cybersecurity measures to ensure responsible AI governance.

Transparency and accountability are also important challenges in AI-based governance systems. Many AI algorithms function as "black boxes," meaning that their decision-making processes are difficult to understand or explain (Gill et al., 2022). This lack of transparency can reduce public trust in government institutions and make it difficult to hold authorities accountable for AI-driven decisions. Citizens have the right to know how government decisions are made, especially when such decisions affect access to public services, employment opportunities, or legal outcomes. Therefore, explainable AI and transparent governance frameworks are essential for maintaining democratic accountability and public confidence (OECD, 2019).

The implementation of AI in governance also has implications for employment and workforce transformation. Automation and AI technologies may replace certain administrative and repetitive tasks traditionally performed by government employees. While AI can improve efficiency and reduce operational costs, it may also create concerns about job displacement and the need for workforce reskilling (Russell & Norvig, 2021). Governments must therefore develop policies

that support digital literacy, skill development, and workforce adaptation in the era of AI-driven governance. Human oversight remains crucial in public administration to ensure that technological systems operate in accordance with ethical principles and societal values.

Ethical AI governance has emerged as an important area of discussion among scholars, policymakers, and international organizations. Ethical AI refers to the development and deployment of AI systems that respect human rights, fairness, transparency, accountability, and social well-being (UNESCO, 2021). Organizations such as UNESCO, the OECD, and the European Commission have proposed ethical guidelines for AI governance to ensure that technological innovation aligns with democratic values and sustainable development goals (OECD, 2019). Responsible AI governance requires collaboration among governments, private sector organizations, academic institutions, and civil society groups. Such collaboration is necessary to create regulatory frameworks that encourage innovation while minimizing potential risks associated with AI technologies (Leslie et al., 2024).

Furthermore, AI has the potential to strengthen citizen participation and democratic governance. Digital platforms powered by AI can facilitate communication between governments and citizens, enabling authorities to gather public feedback and respond more effectively to societal needs. Social media analytics, sentiment analysis, and online consultation platforms can help policymakers understand public opinion and design citizen-centric policies (Schneider et al., 2020). AI can therefore enhance participatory governance by promoting greater inclusiveness and engagement in public decision-making processes. However, governments must ensure that digital governance systems remain accessible to all sections of society and do not widen the digital divide.

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the importance of AI and digital governance in crisis management and public administration. Governments worldwide used AI technologies for contact tracing, disease prediction, healthcare management, and dissemination of public information during the pandemic (Gill et al., 2022). AI-based systems assisted authorities in tracking infection patterns, allocating medical resources, and monitoring compliance with public health regulations. These experiences demonstrated how AI can support governments in responding effectively to emergencies and improving resilience in governance systems. At the same time, the pandemic also revealed challenges related to data privacy, surveillance, and ethical governance, reinforcing the need for balanced and responsible AI implementation.

In the contemporary era, AI is increasingly viewed as a strategic tool for achieving sustainable development and effective governance. By enabling smarter decision-making, improving administrative efficiency, and supporting evidence-based policies, AI can contribute significantly to economic growth and social development (Buiten, 2019). However, the successful integration of AI into governance depends on the establishment of ethical standards, transparent regulatory frameworks, and inclusive digital policies. Governments must adopt a human-centered approach that prioritizes public welfare, democratic accountability, and social justice while leveraging the benefits of AI technologies.

AI has the potential to revolutionize governance and public policymaking by enabling smarter, faster, and more efficient decision-making processes. Its applications in public administration, service delivery, and policy analysis demonstrate its transformative role in modern governance systems. Nevertheless, challenges related to ethics, transparency, accountability, privacy, and social inclusion must be carefully addressed to ensure responsible AI adoption (European Commission, 2021). As governments continue to integrate AI into governance frameworks, the focus should remain on balancing technological innovation with democratic values and human rights. AI-driven governance, when implemented responsibly, can play a vital role in building sustainable, transparent, and citizen-centric public policy systems for the future.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Concept of Artificial Intelligence in Governance

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an essential component of modern governance and public administration. AI refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as learning, reasoning, decision-making, and problem-solving (Russell & Norvig, 2021). In governance, AI technologies are increasingly used to improve policy formulation, automate administrative tasks, and enhance public service delivery. According to Dwivedi et al. (2021), AI has the potential to transform government operations by enabling efficient data management and evidence-based decision-making. Governments worldwide are integrating AI into sectors such as healthcare, education, transportation, taxation, and urban management to achieve smart governance objectives.

The concept of smart governance is closely linked with digital transformation and the use of intelligent technologies in public administration. Meijer and Bolívar (2016) define smart governance as the application of information and communication technologies (ICTs) to improve transparency, accountability, and citizen participation in governance

processes. AI enhances smart governance by analyzing large datasets and generating insights that support policymaking and administrative efficiency.

AI and Data-Driven Public Policy

AI-driven governance systems rely heavily on data analytics and predictive technologies. AI enables governments to process vast amounts of data in real time, helping policymakers identify trends, assess risks, and make informed decisions (Sun & Medaglia, 2019). Predictive analytics, machine learning, and big data applications are increasingly used in public policy to forecast social, economic, and environmental challenges.

According to Janssen and Kuk (2016), data-driven governance improves policy effectiveness by enabling evidence-based decision-making and reducing administrative inefficiencies. Governments can use AI systems to predict disease outbreaks, monitor crime patterns, manage traffic systems, and optimize resource allocation. For example, AI technologies were extensively used during the COVID-19 pandemic for contact tracing, healthcare monitoring, and dissemination of public information (Allam & Jones, 2020). These applications demonstrate the growing importance of AI in public policy management and crisis response.

AI also contributes to policy simulation and scenario analysis. By using machine learning algorithms, governments can assess the potential outcomes of policies before implementation (Wirtz, Weyerer, & Geyer, 2019). This capability improves the quality of public policy decisions and reduces the likelihood of policy failures. AI therefore supports proactive governance by enabling governments to anticipate challenges and design effective policy interventions.

AI and Public Service Delivery

One of the major areas where AI has demonstrated significant impact is public service delivery. Governments are increasingly adopting AI-powered systems such as chatbots, virtual assistants, and automated grievance redressal mechanisms to improve citizen engagement and administrative responsiveness (Zuiderwijk, Chen, & Salem, 2021). These technologies enable governments to provide faster and more accessible services to citizens.

AI applications in healthcare governance have significantly improved service efficiency and disease management. According to Topol (2019), AI systems can support healthcare professionals in diagnosis, treatment planning, and patient monitoring. Similarly, AI-based educational technologies have enhanced learning accessibility and administrative management in education systems. Smart city initiatives also utilize AI for traffic management, waste management, environmental monitoring, and energy optimization (Batty et al., 2012).

Furthermore, AI technologies help reduce corruption and administrative delays in governance systems. Automated systems minimize human intervention in administrative processes, thereby reducing opportunities for bribery and manipulation (Bannister & Connolly, 2020). AI-based monitoring systems can also improve transparency and accountability in government institutions by detecting irregularities and fraudulent activities.

Ethical Challenges of AI in Governance

Despite its advantages, the integration of AI into governance raises several ethical and legal concerns. One of the major issues associated with AI governance is algorithmic bias. AI systems are trained on datasets, and biased data may produce discriminatory outcomes against certain groups or communities (O'Neil, 2016). Bias in AI systems can affect decision-making in areas such as recruitment, law enforcement, healthcare, and welfare distribution.

According to Leslie (2019), fairness and inclusiveness are essential principles of ethical AI governance. Governments must ensure that AI systems operate transparently and do not reinforce existing social inequalities. The lack of transparency in AI algorithms, often referred to as the "black box" problem, creates challenges for accountability and public trust (Floridi et al., 2018). Citizens may not understand how AI systems make decisions, leading to concerns regarding fairness and democratic governance.

Data privacy and cybersecurity are additional challenges in AI governance. AI systems require large volumes of personal and institutional data to function effectively. Without proper safeguards, sensitive citizen information may be vulnerable to misuse or cyberattacks (Cath et al., 2018). The increasing use of facial recognition technologies and biometric systems by governments has intensified concerns regarding surveillance and privacy violations. Therefore, robust legal frameworks and ethical guidelines are necessary to regulate the use of AI in governance.

Global Perspectives on AI Governance

Several countries and international organizations have introduced AI governance frameworks and policies to ensure responsible AI adoption. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2019) developed principles for trustworthy AI that emphasize transparency, accountability, fairness, and human-centered values. Similarly, UNESCO (2021) introduced recommendations on the ethics of AI to guide governments and organizations in responsible AI implementation.

The European Union has proposed the Artificial Intelligence Act to regulate high-risk AI applications and ensure ethical AI practices (European Commission, 2021). China and the United States have also introduced national AI strategies focused on innovation, economic growth, and technological leadership. In India, NITI Aayog (2018) launched the National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence, highlighting the role of AI in healthcare, agriculture, education, and smart mobility. These global initiatives indicate that AI governance has become a strategic priority for governments worldwide.

Scholars argue that effective AI governance requires collaboration between governments, private sector organizations, academic institutions, and civil society groups (Dwivedi et al., 2021). Such collaboration is essential to balance technological innovation with ethical responsibility and public welfare.

AI, Democracy, and Citizen Participation

AI technologies also have the potential to strengthen democratic governance and citizen participation. Digital governance platforms powered by AI can facilitate communication between governments and citizens, allowing policymakers to understand public needs more effectively (Mergel, Edelmann, & Haug, 2019). AI-driven sentiment analysis tools can analyze public opinion on social media platforms and help governments design citizen-centric policies.

However, scholars also warn that excessive reliance on AI in governance may reduce human judgment and democratic accountability (Coeckelbergh, 2020). Governments must therefore ensure that AI systems complement rather than replace human decision-making processes. Human oversight remains essential to maintain ethical governance and protect democratic values.

The literature indicates that AI has significant potential to transform governance and public policymaking by enabling smarter, data-driven, and efficient decision-making processes. AI applications in public administration, healthcare, education, transportation, and smart cities demonstrate its growing importance in governance systems. Studies also highlight the role of AI in improving transparency, accountability, citizen participation, and public service delivery.

However, the literature also reveals major challenges associated with AI governance, including algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns, lack of transparency, cybersecurity risks, and ethical dilemmas. Scholars emphasize the importance of responsible AI governance frameworks that ensure fairness, accountability, and human-centered values. Effective AI governance therefore requires a balanced approach that combines technological innovation with ethical regulations and democratic principles.

Research objectives

1. To examine the role of Artificial Intelligence in enhancing smart decision-making and efficiency in public policy and governance.
2. To analyze the ethical, legal, and administrative challenges associated with the implementation of AI in governance systems.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a quantitative research design to examine the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in smart decision-making and public policy governance. Primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire distributed among 150 respondents selected using the convenience sampling method. The respondents included government employees, policy analysts, academicians, IT professionals, and citizens familiar with digital governance systems. The questionnaire consisted of both demographic and opinion-based questions related to AI applications, governance efficiency, transparency, ethical concerns, and policy effectiveness.

Secondary data were collected from research articles, government reports, books, journals, and official publications related to AI and governance. The collected primary data were analyzed using statistical tools such as percentage analysis, mean scores, and graphical representation to interpret respondent perceptions and opinions. The study follows a descriptive

research approach to evaluate how AI contributes to public policy decision-making and governance effectiveness while identifying the associated challenges and opportunities in implementation.

Analysis

The present study examines the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in governance and smart decision-making in public policy. The analysis is based on responses collected from 150 respondents, including government employees, academicians, policy experts, IT professionals, and citizens familiar with digital governance systems. The collected data were analyzed using percentage analysis and descriptive interpretation methods to understand public perceptions regarding AI adoption in governance, policy implementation, transparency, efficiency, and ethical concerns.

Demographic Analysis

Table 1: Gender of Respondents

Gender	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Male	88	58.7%
Female	54	36.0%
Others	8	5.3%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The above table shows the gender-wise distribution of respondents participating in the study. Out of 150 respondents, 88 respondents (58.7%) were male, 54 respondents (36.0%) were female, and 8 respondents (5.3%) belonged to other gender categories. The data indicate that male respondents formed the majority of the sample population. However, female participation was also significant, reflecting increasing awareness regarding AI and governance issues among different gender groups. The inclusion of respondents from diverse gender categories enhances the reliability and inclusiveness of the study. The balanced representation suggests that perceptions regarding AI governance are not limited to a single demographic group but are becoming relevant across society.

Table 2: Age Group of Respondents

Age Group	Number of Respondents	Percentage
18–25 Years	42	28.0%
26–35 Years	**56**	**37.3%**
36–45 Years	31	20.7%
Above 45 Years	21	14.0%

Age Group	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Total	**150**	**100%**

The table indicates that the majority of respondents belonged to the 26–35 years age group, accounting for 37.3% of the total sample. Respondents aged between 18–25 years represented 28.0%, while 20.7% belonged to the 36–45 years category. Only 14.0% of respondents were above 45 years of age. The findings reveal that younger and middle-aged individuals are more actively engaged with AI technologies and digital governance systems. Younger respondents are generally more familiar with digital tools and technological advancements, which may explain their greater participation in the study. The results also indicate that awareness regarding AI in governance is spreading across various age groups, reflecting the growing societal importance of technology-driven governance.

Table 3: Educational Qualification of Respondents

Qualification	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Undergraduate	39	26.0%
Postgraduate	**67**	**44.7%**
Professional Degree	28	18.7%
Doctorate	16	10.6%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The table shows that most respondents possessed postgraduate qualifications, accounting for 44.7% of the total respondents. Undergraduate respondents represented 26.0%, while 18.7% held professional degrees and 10.6% had doctoral qualifications. The findings indicate that the study primarily included educated respondents with sufficient knowledge and understanding of AI technologies and governance systems. Higher educational qualifications may influence awareness regarding digital governance, ethical AI, and policy implementation. The presence of professionally qualified and doctoral respondents further strengthens the quality of responses collected during the study. Educated respondents are more likely to understand the benefits and challenges associated with AI-driven governance systems.

Awareness and Usage of AI in Governance

Table 4: Awareness Regarding AI in Governance

Awareness Level	Number of Respondents	Percentage

Awareness Level	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Highly Aware	63	42.0%
Moderately Aware	58	38.7%
Slightly Aware	21	14.0%
Not Aware	8	5.3%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The findings indicate that 42.0% of respondents were highly aware of AI applications in governance, while 38.7% were moderately aware. Around 14.0% of respondents possessed slight awareness, and only 5.3% were not aware of AI in governance. The results demonstrate a high level of public awareness regarding AI technologies and their role in governance systems. Increased digital literacy, media exposure, and government initiatives promoting digital governance may have contributed to this awareness. The findings also indicate that AI is gradually becoming an important part of public discourse and policy discussions. High awareness among respondents reflects the growing influence of AI technologies in governance and administrative processes.

Table 5: Usefulness of AI in Public Policy Decision-Making

Opinion	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Highly Useful	71	47.3%
Useful	52	34.7%
Neutral	17	11.3%
Not Useful	10	6.7%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The table reveals that 47.3% of respondents considered AI highly useful in public policy decision-making, while 34.7% believed it was useful. Only 11.3% remained neutral, and 6.7% considered AI not useful in governance. The results clearly demonstrate positive public perception regarding AI applications in policymaking. Respondents believe that AI technologies can improve decision accuracy, reduce administrative delays, and support evidence-based governance. AI tools can assist governments in analyzing large volumes of data and predicting policy outcomes more effectively. The

findings suggest that citizens and professionals recognize the potential of AI to enhance governance quality, improve efficiency, and strengthen public administration systems.

Table 6: Areas Where AI is Most Effective in Governance

Area	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Healthcare	39	26.0%
Public Safety	31	20.7%
Smart Cities	29	19.3%
Public Administration	27	18.0%
Education	24	16.0%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The table indicates that respondents considered healthcare as the most effective area for AI implementation in governance, accounting for 26.0% of responses. Public safety ranked second with 20.7%, followed by smart cities (19.3%), public administration (18.0%), and education (16.0%). The findings suggest that respondents perceive AI technologies as particularly beneficial in healthcare management, disease prediction, and emergency response systems. AI's role in public safety and smart city management is also considered important due to its ability to support surveillance, traffic management, and disaster response. Overall, the results show that AI has broad applications across multiple governance sectors and contributes significantly to public welfare and administrative efficiency.

Analysis of Governance Efficiency

Table 7: AI Improves Government Efficiency

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	69	46.0%
Agree	51	34.0%
Neutral	18	12.0%
Disagree	12	8.0%

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Total	**150**	**100%**

The findings reveal that 46.0% of respondents strongly agreed that AI improves government efficiency, while 34.0% agreed with the statement. Only 12.0% remained neutral and 8.0% disagreed. The results indicate strong support for AI-enabled governance systems. Respondents believe that AI technologies can automate repetitive administrative tasks, reduce human errors, improve service delivery, and increase operational efficiency. AI-powered systems can process information quickly and assist government agencies in making timely decisions. The findings also suggest that AI contributes to faster public service delivery and more effective management of government resources. Overall, respondents perceive AI as an essential tool for improving governance performance and administrative productivity.

Table 8: AI Enhances Transparency in Governance

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	61	40.7%
Agree	57	38.0%
Neutral	20	13.3%
Disagree	12	8.0%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The above table shows that 40.7% of respondents strongly agreed and 38.0% agreed that AI enhances transparency in governance. Only 13.3% remained neutral, while 8.0% disagreed with the statement. The findings suggest that AI technologies contribute significantly to transparent governance systems by reducing corruption, improving monitoring mechanisms, and ensuring accountability in public administration. AI-based systems can track transactions, monitor government activities, and identify irregularities more efficiently than traditional methods. Respondents also believe that digital governance platforms supported by AI improve accessibility to public information and strengthen citizen trust in government institutions. Thus, AI is viewed as a valuable tool for promoting transparent and accountable governance.

Table 9: AI Reduces Human Errors in Public Administration

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	64	42.7%

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Agree	55	36.7%
Neutral	17	11.3%
Disagree	14	9.3%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The findings reveal that 42.7% of respondents strongly agreed that AI reduces human errors in public administration, while 36.7% agreed with the statement. Around 11.3% remained neutral and 9.3% disagreed. The results suggest that respondents consider AI technologies more accurate and reliable in administrative operations compared to manual systems. AI systems can process large datasets efficiently and minimize mistakes associated with repetitive tasks and human fatigue. Automated governance systems can improve accuracy in areas such as taxation, welfare distribution, documentation, and policy implementation. The findings indicate that respondents trust AI-driven systems to improve administrative effectiveness and reduce operational inefficiencies in governance processes.

Ethical and Social Challenges of AI

Table 10: Concern Regarding Data Privacy and Security

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Highly Concerned	72	48.0%
Concerned	46	30.7%
Neutral	18	12.0%
Not Concerned	14	9.3%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The table indicates that 48.0% of respondents were highly concerned regarding data privacy and security issues associated with AI governance systems, while 30.7% expressed concern. Only 12.0% remained neutral and 9.3% were not concerned. The findings highlight that data privacy and cybersecurity are major challenges in AI implementation. Respondents fear misuse of personal information, surveillance, unauthorized access, and cyber threats resulting from large-scale data collection by governments. AI systems depend heavily on digital data, making strong security mechanisms essential. The results emphasize the need for effective cybersecurity policies, ethical regulations, and transparent data management practices to ensure responsible AI governance and maintain public trust.

Table 11: AI May Lead to Job Displacement

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	58	38.7%
Agree	47	31.3%
Neutral	23	15.3%
Disagree	22	14.7%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The findings show that 38.7% of respondents strongly agreed and 31.3% agreed that AI may lead to job displacement in governance systems. Around 15.3% remained neutral, while 14.7% disagreed with the statement. The results indicate growing concerns regarding automation and its impact on employment opportunities. AI technologies can replace repetitive administrative tasks traditionally performed by government employees, potentially reducing the demand for certain job roles. However, respondents also recognize that AI may create new opportunities requiring technical and analytical skills. The findings suggest the importance of workforce reskilling, digital literacy programs, and policy measures to support employees adapting to AI-driven governance systems.

Table 12: AI Systems Should be Regulated by Government

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	77	51.3%
Agree	49	32.7%
Neutral	15	10.0%
Disagree	9	6.0%
Total	**150**	**100%**

The above table reveals that 51.3% of respondents strongly agreed and 32.7% agreed that AI systems should be regulated by governments. Only 10.0% remained neutral, while 6.0% disagreed. The findings indicate strong public support for ethical regulations and legal frameworks governing AI technologies. Respondents believe that government regulation is necessary to ensure transparency, accountability, fairness, and protection of citizen rights. AI systems can significantly

influence governance decisions, making regulation essential to prevent misuse, discrimination, and privacy violations. The findings emphasize the importance of creating responsible AI governance policies that balance technological innovation with ethical and social considerations.

Comparative Analysis

Table 13: Comparison Between Traditional Governance and AI-Based Governance

Aspect	Traditional Governance	AI-Based Governance
Decision-Making Speed	Slow	Fast
Data Processing	Limited	Large-Scale and Real-Time
Transparency	Moderate	High
Human Errors	More Frequent	Reduced
Public Service Delivery	Time-Consuming	Efficient
Policy Analysis	Manual	Predictive and Automated

The comparative analysis highlights significant differences between traditional governance systems and AI-based governance models. Traditional governance relies heavily on manual procedures, paperwork, and human intervention, often leading to delays and inefficiencies. In contrast, AI-based governance enables faster decision-making, automated data processing, and predictive policy analysis. AI systems improve transparency by digitally tracking government activities and reducing corruption opportunities. Respondents also believe that AI-based governance enhances public service delivery through automation and intelligent systems. The comparison demonstrates that AI technologies have the potential to modernize governance frameworks and improve administrative effectiveness. However, successful implementation requires ethical safeguards and appropriate regulatory mechanisms.

Statistical Summary Analysis

Table 14: Overall Opinion Regarding AI in Governance

Opinion Category	Mean Score
Need for Ethical Regulation	**4.4**
AI Supports Smart Decision-Making	4.3

Opinion Category	Mean Score
AI Improves Efficiency	4.2
AI Enhances Transparency	4.1
Concern About Privacy Risks	**4.0**

The statistical summary indicates that respondents generally possess positive perceptions regarding AI applications in governance. The highest mean score of 4.4 was recorded for the need for ethical regulation, suggesting strong public demand for responsible AI governance frameworks. AI support for smart decision-making received a mean score of 4.3, while AI improving efficiency scored 4.2. Transparency enhancement scored 4.1, indicating favorable public perception regarding accountability and digital governance. However, concerns regarding privacy risks also recorded a relatively high score of 4.0, reflecting public anxiety about data security and surveillance. Overall, the findings demonstrate that respondents support AI adoption in governance while emphasizing the importance of ethical safeguards and legal accountability.

Findings of the Study

1. The majority of respondents were aware of AI applications in governance and public policy.
2. Respondents strongly believed that AI improves administrative efficiency and decision-making.
3. Healthcare and public safety were considered the most effective areas for AI implementation.
4. AI-based governance systems were perceived as more transparent and accountable compared to traditional systems.
5. Data privacy and cybersecurity emerged as major concerns among respondents.
6. Many respondents believed that AI could lead to job displacement in administrative sectors.
7. There was strong public support for ethical regulation and government control over AI systems.
8. Respondents favored AI-driven governance due to its ability to reduce human errors and improve service delivery.
9. AI was viewed as an important tool for smart governance and policy innovation.
10. Citizens emphasized the importance of balancing technological advancement with ethical and democratic values.

CONCLUSION

The data analysis clearly demonstrates that AI plays a significant role in improving governance and public policy decision-making. Respondents expressed positive perceptions regarding AI's ability to enhance efficiency, transparency, and administrative effectiveness. AI technologies were particularly valued for their applications in healthcare, public safety, smart cities, and public administration. The findings indicate that AI-driven governance systems can improve service delivery, reduce human errors, and support evidence-based policymaking.

However, the study also identified several challenges associated with AI governance, including data privacy concerns, cybersecurity risks, ethical issues, and potential job displacement. Respondents emphasized the need for strong ethical regulations and transparent governance frameworks to ensure responsible AI adoption. The findings suggest that while AI has enormous potential to transform governance systems, its successful implementation requires careful balancing of technological innovation with ethical responsibility, democratic accountability, and public welfare.

Overall, the study concludes that AI can significantly contribute to smart governance and effective public policymaking when implemented responsibly and ethically. Governments must therefore focus on creating inclusive, transparent, and citizen-centric AI governance systems to maximize societal benefits and ensure sustainable development.

SUGGESTIONS

1. Governments should establish strong ethical and legal frameworks for AI governance.
2. Public awareness programs should be conducted to improve understanding of AI technologies.

3. Data privacy and cybersecurity measures should be strengthened to protect citizen information.
4. Governments should provide digital literacy and reskilling programs for employees affected by automation.
5. AI systems should remain transparent, explainable, and accountable to maintain public trust.
6. Human oversight should be maintained in critical governance decisions involving AI systems.
7. Public participation should be encouraged in AI policymaking and governance frameworks.
8. AI technologies should be implemented gradually with regular monitoring and evaluation.
9. Collaboration between governments, academic institutions, and private organizations should be promoted.
10. AI governance policies should prioritize inclusiveness, fairness, and social welfare.

REFERENCES

1. Allam, Z., & Jones, D. S. (2020). On the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak and the smart city network: Universal data sharing standards coupled with artificial intelligence (AI) to benefit urban health monitoring and management. *Healthcare, 8*(1), 46.
2. Bannister, F., & Connolly, R. (2020). The future ain't what it used to be: Forecasting the impact of ICT on the public sphere. *Government Information Quarterly, 37*(1), 101410.
3. Batty, M., Axhausen, K., Giannotti, F., Pozdnoukhov, A., Bazzani, A., Wachowicz, M., Ouzounis, G., & Portugali, Y. (2012). Smart cities of the future. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics, 214*(1), 481–518.
4. Buiten, M. C. (2019). Towards intelligent regulation of artificial intelligence. *European Journal of Risk Regulation, 10*(1), 41–59.
5. Cath, C., Wachter, S., Mittelstadt, B., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the 'good society': The US, EU, and UK approach. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 24*(2), 505–528.
6. Coeckelbergh, M. (2020). *AI ethics*. MIT Press.
7. Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., … Williams, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 57*, 101994.
8. European Commission. (2021). *Proposal for a regulation laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act).* European Union.
9. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines, 28*(4), 689–707.
10. Gill, N., Mathur, A., & Conde, M. V. (2022). *A brief overview of AI governance for responsible machine learning systems*. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.13130
11. Janssen, M., & Kuk, G. (2016). Big and open linked data (BOLD) in government: A challenge to transparency and privacy? *Government Information Quarterly, 33*(4), 363–368.
12. Leslie, D. (2019). *Understanding artificial intelligence ethics and safety*. The Alan Turing Institute.
13. Leslie, D., Rincon, C., Briggs, M., Perini, A., Jayadeva, S., Borda, A., Bennett, S. J., Burr, C., Aitken, M., Katell, M., & Fischer, C. (2024). *AI ethics and governance in practice: An introduction*. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.15403
14. Meijer, A., & Bolívar, M. P. R. (2016). Governing the smart city: A review of the literature on smart urban governance. *International Review of Administrative Sciences, 82*(2), 392–408.
15. Mergel, I., Edelmann, N., & Haug, N. (2019). Defining digital transformation: Results from expert interviews. *Government Information Quarterly, 36*(4), 101385.
16. NITI Aayog. (2018). *National strategy for artificial intelligence #AIforAll*. Government of India.
17. OECD. (2019). *OECD principles on artificial intelligence*. OECD Publishing.
18. O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown Publishing Group.
19. Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.
20. Schneider, J., Abraham, R., Meske, C., & vom Brocke, J. (2020). *AI governance for businesses*. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.10672

21. Sun, T. Q., & Medaglia, R. (2019). Mapping the challenges of Artificial Intelligence in the public sector: Evidence from public healthcare. *Government Information Quarterly, 36*(2), 368–383.
22. Topol, E. (2019). *Deep medicine: How artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again*. Basic Books.
23. UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence*. UNESCO Publishing.
24. Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Geyer, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence and the public sector—Applications and challenges. *International Journal of Public Administration, 42*(7), 596–615.
25. Zuiderwijk, A., Chen, Y. C., & Salem, F. (2021). Implications of the use of artificial intelligence in public governance: A systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Government Information Quarterly, 38*(3), 101577.